



## Topological Features of *ic*- Open Sets

Beyda S. Abdullah<sup>1</sup>, Sami Abdullah Abed<sup>2</sup>, Amir A. Mohammed<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq

<sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics, College of Administration and Economics, University of Diyala, , Diyala, Iraq

<https://doi.org/10.25130/tjps.v27i6.762>

### ARTICLE INFO.

#### Article history:

-Received: 9 / 9 / 2022

-Accepted: 16 / 10 / 2022

-Available online: 25 / 12 / 2022

**Keywords:** *ic*-open sets; *ic*-closure; *ic*-interior; *ic*-derived; *ic*-border; *ic*-frontier; *ic*-continuous mappings; *ic*-open mappings; *ic*-irresolute mappings; *ic*-totally continuous mappings; *ic*-homeomorphism.

#### Corresponding Author:

Name: Beyda S. Abdullah

#### E-mail:

[Baedaq419@unmosul.edu.iq](mailto:Baedaq419@unmosul.edu.iq)

[samiaabed@uodiyala.edu.iq](mailto:samiaabed@uodiyala.edu.iq)

[amirabdulillah@uomosul.edu.iq](mailto:amirabdulillah@uomosul.edu.iq)

#### Tel:

©2022 COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, TIKRIT UNIVERSITY. THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE CC BY LICENSE

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



### ABSTRACT

Using the idea of *ic*-open sets, we introduce and investigate the topological qualities of an *ic*-closure, *ic*-interior, *ic*-limit points, *ic*-derived, *ic*-border, *ic*-frontier, and *ic*-exterior of a set. Introduce the concepts of "*ic*-continuous mappings," "*ic*-open mappings," "*ic*-irresolute mappings," "*ic*-totally continuous mappings," and "*ic*-homeomorphism," and then look into some of the properties of these mappings.

### 1. Introduction

Askandar in [2] "using the idea of *i*- open sets, he introduces and examines the topological features of *i*-derivatives, *i*- terms and *i*- set outward appearances. Using *ic*-open sets, we introduce and investigate the same notions in this research. a portion  $H$  of " $X$ " is known as *ic*-open set[1] if there exists a closed set  $F \neq \emptyset, X \in \tau^c$  such that:  $F \cap H \subseteq \text{Int}(H)$ , where  $\text{Int}(H)$  denotes the interior points of  $H$  and  $\tau^c$  denotes the family of closed sets. An *ic*-closed set is the complement of an *ic*-open set.. We denote the family of *ic*-open set in  $(X, \tau)$  by  $\tau^{ic}$ . Let  $(X, \tau^{ic})$  be a topological space. This property allows us to prove similar properties *i*- open set. Also, we define *ic*-continuous mappings, *ic*-open mappings, *ic*-totally continuous mappings, *ic*- homeomorphism and

investigate some properties of these mappings. The topological spaces  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  are denoted here by  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, topological spaces, open sets (as opposed to closed sets) by  $(os)$ ,  $(cs)$ ,  $TS$ . Throughout this paper, topological spaces are referred to as  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$ .  $Cl(H)$  and  $\text{Int}(H)$  denote the closure and interior of a space's subset  $H$ , respectively. The following definitions come to mind; they are helpful in the follow-up.

**Definition 1.1.** A mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is named

1. Continuous denoted by  $(comm)$  [4] if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(os)$  in  $X$  for each  $(os) U$  in  $Y$ .
2. totally -continuous is denoted by  $(t comm)$ if [4]  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(cl-os)$  in  $X$  for each  $(os) U$  in  $Y$ .

3. *ic*- continuous is denoted by (*ic- comm*) if [1 ]  $f^{-1}(U)$  is (*ic-os*) in  $X$  for each (*os*)  $U$  in  $Y$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** [1]

1. Each (*os*) in  $TS$  is (*ic-os*).
2. Each (*comm*) is (*ic- comm*).

**2. Applications of *ic*- Open Sets.**

**Definition 2.1.** Assume  $X$  be a  $TS$  and let  $H \subseteq X$ . The *ic*- interior of  $H$  is defined as the union of all (*ic- os*) in  $X$  content in  $H$ , and is denoted by  $Int_{ic}(H)$ . It is clear that  $Int_{ic}(H)$  is (*ic-os*) for any subset  $H$  of  $X$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Assume  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $TS$  and if  $H \subseteq K \subseteq X$ . Then

1.  $Int_{ic}(H) \subseteq Int_{ic}(K)$ ;
2.  $Int_{ic}(H) \subseteq H$ ;
3.  $H$  is *ic*- open iff  $H = Int_{ic}(H)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Assume  $X$  be a  $TS$  and let  $H \subseteq X$ . The *ic*-closer of  $H$  is defined as The intersection of all (*ic- cs*) in  $X$  containing  $H$ , and is denoted by  $CL_{ic}(H)$ . It is clear that  $CL_{ic}(H)$  is (*ic-cs*) for any subset  $H$  of  $X$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** Assume  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $TS$  and if  $H \subseteq K \subseteq X$ . Then

1.  $CL_{ic}(H) \subseteq CL_{ic}(K)$ ;
2.  $H \subseteq CL_{ic}(H)$ ;
3.  $H$  is *ic*- closed if and only if  $H = CL_{ic}(H)$ .

**Example 2.5.** If  $X = \{1, 3, 5\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{3\}, \{1, 3\}\}$  Then

$$\tau^{ic} = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1, 3\}\}$$

Let  $H = \{3\}$ ,  $K = \{1, 3\}$  and  $\{3\} \subseteq \{1, 3\} \subseteq X$ . Then

1.  $Int_{ic}(H) = \{3\} \subseteq Int_{ic}(K) = \{1, 3\}$ ;
2.  $Int_{ic}(H) = \{3\} \subseteq H = \{3\}$ ;
3.  $H = \{3\}$  is *ic*- open if and only if  $H = \{3\} = Int_{ic}(H) = \{3\}$ .

$$C(\tau^{ic}) = \{\emptyset, X, \{3, 5\}, \{1, 5\}, \{5\}\}$$

Let  $H = \{3\}$ ,  $K = \{1, 3\}$  and  $\{3\} \subseteq \{1, 3\} \subseteq X$ . Then

1.  $CL_{ic}(H) = \{3, 5\} \subseteq CL_{ic}(K) = X$ ;
2.  $H = \{3\} \subseteq CL_{ic}(H) = \{3, 5\}$ ;
3.  $H = \{5\}$  is *ic*- closed if and only if  $H = \{5\} = CL_{ic}(H) = \{5\}$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $H$  be a subset of a  $TS$   $X$ . A point  $n \in X$  is named *ic*- limit point of  $H$  if it satisfies the following assertion:

$$(\forall G \in \tau^{ic})(n \in G \Rightarrow G \cap (H \setminus \{n\}) \neq \emptyset)$$

The set of all *ic*-limit points of  $H$  is named *ic*-derived set of  $H$  and is denoted by  $D_{ic}(H)$  Note that for a subset  $H$  of  $X$ , a point  $n \in X$  is not *ic*- limit point of  $H$  iff there exists (*ic- os*)  $G$  in  $X$  s.t.  $n \in G$  &  $G \cap (H \setminus \{n\}) = \emptyset$

or equivalently,

$$n \in G \text{ and } G \cap H = \emptyset \text{ or } G \cap H = \{n\}$$

or equivalently,

$$n \in G \text{ and } G \cap H \subseteq \{n\}$$

**Theorem 2.7.** let  $H$  be a subset  $X$ , and  $n \in X$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\forall G \in \tau^{ic})(n \in G \Rightarrow G \cap H \neq \emptyset)$ .
- (2)  $n \in CL_{ic}(H)$

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) if  $n \notin CL_{ic}(H)$ , then there exists (*ic- cs*)  $F$  s.t.  $H \subseteq F$  and  $n \notin F$ . Hence  $X \setminus F$  is (*ic-os*) containing  $n$  and  $H \cap (X \setminus F) \subseteq H \cap (X \setminus H) = \emptyset$ . This is contradiction, and hence (2) is valid.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) straightforward. ■

**Theorem 2.8.** If  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $TS$  and let  $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ . Then

1.  $CL_{ic}(A) = A \cup D_{ic}(A)$ .
2.  $A$  is *ic*-closed iff  $D_{ic}(A) \subseteq A$
3.  $D_{ic}(A) \subseteq D_{ic}(B)$
4.  $D_{ic}(A) \subseteq D(A)$
5.  $CL_{ic}(A) \subseteq CL(A)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $n \notin CL_{ic}(A)$ . Then there is (*ic-cs*)  $F$  in  $X$  s.t.  $A \subseteq F$  and  $n \notin F$ . Hence  $G = X \setminus F$  is (*ic-os*) s.t.  $n \in G$  and  $G \cap A = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $n \notin A$  and  $n \notin D_{ic}(A)$ , then  $n \notin A \cup D_{ic}(A)$ .

Thus  $A \cup D_{ic}(A) \subseteq CL_{ic}(A)$ . On the other hand,  $n \notin A \cup D_{ic}(A)$  implies that there exists (*ic-os*)  $G$  in  $X$  s.t.  $n \in G$  and  $G \cap A = \emptyset$ . Hence  $F = X \setminus G$  is (*ic- cs*) in  $X$  s.t.  $A \subseteq F$  and  $n \notin F$ . Hence  $n \notin D_{ic}(A)$ . Thus  $CL_{ic}(A) \subseteq A \cup D_{ic}(A)$ . Therefore;  $CL_{ic}(A) = A \cup D_{ic}(A)$ . ■

For (2), (3), (4) and (5) the proof is easy.

**Example 2.9.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}\}$  Then

1.  $\tau \subseteq \tau^{ic} = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$
2. If  $H = \{1, 3\}$ , then  $D(H) = \{3\}$  and  $D_{ic}(H) = \emptyset$
3. If  $K = \{1, 2\}$ , then  $D(K) = \{2, 3\}$  and  $D_{ic}(K) = \{3\}$

**Theorem 2.10.** let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be topologic on  $X$  s.t.

$\tau_1^{ic} \subseteq \tau_2^{ic}$ . For any subset  $H$  of  $X$ , each *ic*-limit point of  $H$  with respect to  $\tau_2$  is *ic*- limit point of  $H$  with respect to  $\tau_1$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $n$  be *ic*-limit point of  $H$  with respect to  $\tau_2$ . Then  $G \cap (H \setminus \{n\}) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $G \in \tau_2^{ic}$  s.t.  $n \in G$ . But  $\tau_1^{ic} \subseteq \tau_2^{ic}$ , so in particular,  $G \cap (H \setminus \{n\}) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $G \in \tau_1^{ic}$  s.t.  $n \in G$ . Hence  $n$  is *ic*-limit point of  $H$  with respect to  $\tau_1$ . ■

**Theorem 2.11.** If  $H$  is a subset of a discrete topological space  $X$ , then  $D_{ic}(H) = \emptyset$

**Proof.** Assume  $n$  be any element of  $X$ . Recall that each subset of  $X$  is (*os*) and so (*ic-os*). In particular the singleton set  $G = \{n\}$  is (*ic-os*). But  $n \in G$  &  $G \cap H = \{n\} \cap H \subseteq \{n\}$ . Hence  $n$  is not *ic*-limit point of  $H$ , and so  $D_{ic}(H) = \emptyset$ . ■

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $H$  and  $K$  be subsets of  $X$ . If  $H \in \tau^{ic}$  and  $\tau^{ic}$  is a topology on  $X$ , then  $H \cap CL_{ic}(K) \subseteq CL_{ic}(H \cap K)$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $n \in H \cap CL_{ic}(K)$ . Then  $n \in H$  and  $n \in CL_{ic}(K) = K \cup D_{ic}(K)$ . If  $n \in K$ , then  $n \in H \cap K \subseteq CL_{ic}(H \cap K)$ . If  $n \notin K$ , then  $n \in D_{ic}(K)$  and so  $G \cap K \neq \emptyset$  for all  $(ic-os)$   $G$  containing  $n$ . Since  $H \in \tau^{ic}$ ,  $G \cap H$  is also  $(ic-os)$  containing  $n$ . Hence  $G \cap (H \cap K) = (G \cap H) \cap K \neq \emptyset$ , and consequently  $n \in D_{ic}(K \cap H) \subseteq CL_{ic}(H \cap K)$ . Therefore  $H \cap CL_{ic}(K) \subseteq CL_{ic}(H \cap K)$ .

**Definition 2.13.** For any subset  $H$  of  $X$ , the set  $b_{ic}(H) = H \setminus Int_{ic}(H)$  is called the  $ic$ - border of  $H$

**Proposition 2.14.** For a subset  $A$  of a space  $X$ , the following statements hold:

1.  $b_{ic}(A) \subset b(A)$  where  $b(A)$  denotes the border of  $A$ ;
2.  $A = Int_{ic}(A) \cup b_{ic}(A)$ ;
3.  $Int_{ic}(A) \cap b_{ic}(A) = \emptyset$ ;
4.  $A$  is an  $ic$ - open set if and only if  $b_{ic}(A) = \emptyset$ ;
5.  $b_{ic}(Int_{ic}(A)) = \emptyset$ ;
6.  $Int_{ic}(b_{ic}(A)) = \emptyset$ ;
7.  $b_{ic}(b_{ic}(A)) = b_{ic}(A)$ ;

**Proof.**

(1) Since  $Int(A) \subset Int_{ic}(A)$ , we have  $b_{ic}(A) = A \setminus Int_{ic}(A) \subseteq A \setminus Int(A) = b(A)$ .

(2) & (3). Straightforward.

(4) Assume  $Int_{ic}(A) \subseteq A$ , it follows from proposition 2.2 (3). That  $A$  is  $(ic-os) \Leftrightarrow A = Int_{ic}(A) \Leftrightarrow b_{ic}(A) = A \setminus Int_{ic}(A) = \emptyset$ .

(5) Assume  $Int_{ic}(A)$  is  $(ic-os)$ , it follows from (4) that  $b_{ic}(Int_{ic}(A)) = \emptyset$ .

(6) If  $n \in Int_{ic}(b_{ic}(A))$ , then  $n \in b_{ic}(A)$ . On the other hand, since  $b_{ic}(A) \subset A$ ,  $n \in Int_{ic}(b_{ic}(A)) \subset Int_{ic}(A)$ . Hence,  $n \in Int_{ic}(A) \cap (b_{ic}(A))$ , which contradicts (3). Thus  $Int_{ic}(b_{ic}(A)) = \emptyset$ .

(7) Using (6), we get  $b_{ic}(b_{ic}(A)) = b_{ic}(A) \setminus Int_{ic}(b_{ic}(A)) = b_{ic}(A)$ .

**Example 2.15.** From example 2.5. If  $A = \{1, 5\}$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then  $Int_{ic}(A) = \{1\}$ , and so  $b_{ic}(A) = A \setminus Int_{ic}(A) = \{1, 5\} \setminus \{1\} = \{5\}$ , and  $b(A) = A \setminus Int(A) = \{1, 5\} \setminus$

$\emptyset = \{1, 5\}$ . Hence,  $b(A) \not\subset b_{ic}(A)$ , Therefore, the converse of proposition 2.14 (1) may not always be true.

**Definition 2.16.**  $Fr_{ic}(H) = CL_{ic}(H) \setminus Int_{ic}(H)$  is called the  $ic$ - frontier of  $H$ .

Not that if  $H$  is  $(ic-cs)$  of  $X$ , then  $b_{ic}(H) = Fr_{ic}(H)$ .

**proposition 2.17.** These propositions are true for a subset  $A$  of a space  $X$ :

1.  $Fr_{ic}(A) \subset Fr(A)$  where  $Fr(A)$  denotes the frontier of  $A$ ;
2.  $CL_{ic}(A) = Int_{ic}(A) \cup Fr_{ic}(A)$ ;
3.  $Int_{ic}(A) \cap Fr_{ic}(A) = \emptyset$ ;
4.  $b_{ic}(A) \subset Fr_{ic}(A)$ ;
5.  $Fr_{ic}(A) = b_{ic}(A) \cup D_{ic}(A)$ ;
6. If  $A$  is an  $ic$ - open set then  $Fr_{ic}(A) = D_{ic}(A)$ ;
7.  $Fr_{ic}(A) = CL_{ic}(A) \cap CL_{ic}(X \setminus A)$ ;
8.  $Fr_{ic}(A) = Fr_{ic}(X \setminus A)$ ;
9.  $Fr_{ic}(A)$  is  $ic$ -closed;
10.  $Fr_{ic}(Fr_{ic}(A)) \subset Fr_{ic}(A)$ ;
11.  $Fr_{ic}(Int_{ic}(A)) \subset Fr_{ic}(A)$ ;
12.  $Fr_{ic}(CL_{ic}(A)) \subset Fr_{ic}(A)$ ;
13.  $Int_{ic}(A) = A \setminus Fr_{ic}(A)$ .

**Proof.**

(1) Since  $CL_{ic}(A) \subseteq Cl(A)$  and  $Int(A) \subseteq Int_{ic}(A)$ , it follows that  $Fr_{ic}(A) = CL_{ic}(A) \setminus Int_{ic}(A) \subseteq Cl(A) \setminus Int_{ic}(A) \subseteq Cl(A) \setminus Int(A) \subseteq Fr(A)$ .

(2)  $Int_{ic}(A) \cup Fr_{ic}(A) = Int_{ic}(A) \cup (CL_{ic}(A) \setminus Int_{ic}(A)) = CL_{ic}(A)$ .

(3)  $Int_{ic}(A) \cap Fr_{ic}(A) = Int_{ic}(A) \cap (CL_{ic}(A) \setminus Int_{ic}(A)) = \emptyset$ .

(4) Since  $A \subseteq CL_{ic}(A)$ , we have  $b_{ic}(A) = A \setminus Int_{ic}(A) \subseteq CL_{ic}(A) \setminus Int_{ic}(A) = Fr_{ic}(A)$

(5) Since  $Int_{ic}(A) \cup Fr_{ic}(A) = Int_{ic}(A) \cup b_{ic}(A) \cup D_{ic}(A)$ ,  $Fr_{ic}(A) = b_{ic}(A) \cup D_{ic}(A)$ .

(6) Assume that  $A$  is  $(ic-os)$ . Then  $Fr_{ic}(A) = b_{ic}(A) \cup (D_{ic}(A) \setminus Int_{ic}(A)) = \emptyset \cup (D_{ic}(A) \setminus A) = D_{ic}(A) \setminus A = b_{ic}(X \setminus A)$ , by using (5), proposition 2.2 (3), proposition 2.14(4)

(7)  $Fr_{ic}(A) = CL_{ic}(A) \setminus Int_{ic}(A) = CL_{ic}(A) \cap (CL_{ic}(X \setminus A))$ .

(8) It follows from (7).

(9)  $CL_{ic}(Fr_{ic}(A)) = CL_{ic}(CL_{ic}(A)) \cap (CL_{ic}(X \setminus A)) \subseteq CL_{ic}(CL_{ic}(A)) \cap CL_{ic}(CL_{ic}(X \setminus A)) =$

$Fr_{ic}(A)$ . Hence,  $Fr_{ic}(A)$  is  $ic$ -closed.

(10)  $Fr_{ic}(Fr_{ic}(A)) = CL_{ic}(Fr_{ic}(A)) \cap (CL_{ic}(X \setminus Fr_{ic}(A))) \subseteq CL_{ic}(Fr_{ic}(A)) = Fr_{ic}(A)$ .

(11) Since  $Int_{ic}(Int_{ic}(A)) = Int_{ic}(A)$ , we get

$Fr_{ic}(Int_{ic}(A)) = CL_{ic}(Int_{ic}(A)) \setminus Int_{ic}(Int_{ic}(A)) \subseteq CL_{ic}(A) \setminus Int_{ic}(A) = Fr_{ic}(A)$ .

(12)  $Fr_{ic}(CL_{ic}(A)) = CL_{ic}(CL_{ic}(A)) \setminus Int_{ic}(CL_{ic}(A)) = CL_{ic}(A) \setminus Int_{ic}(CL_{ic}(A)) = CL_{ic}(A) \setminus Int_{ic}(A) = Fr_{ic}(A)$ .

(13)  $A \setminus Fr_{ic}(A) = (A \setminus CL_{ic}(A)) \setminus Int_{ic}(A) = Int_{ic}(A)$ .

■

**Example 2.18.** Assume that TS  $(X, \tau)$  provided in Example 2.5, If  $A = \{1, 3\}$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then  $Int_{ic}(A) = \{1, 3\}$ , and so  $b_{ic}(A) = A \setminus Int_{ic}(A) = \{1, 3\} \setminus \{1, 3\} = \emptyset$ . Since  $A = \{5\}$  is  $ic$ -closed,  $CL_{ic}(A) = \{5\}$  and thus  $Fr_{ic}(A) = CL_{ic}(A) \setminus Int_{ic}(A) = \{5\} \setminus \{1, 3\} = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 2.19.** For a subset  $H$  of  $X$ ,  $H$  is ( $ic$ -cs) iff  $Fr_{ic}(H) \subseteq H$

**Proof.** Assume that  $H$  is ( $ic$ -cs). Then  $Fr_{ic}(H) = CL_{ic}(H) \setminus Int_{ic}(H) = H \setminus Int_{ic}(H) \subseteq H$ .

**Conversely** suppose that  $Fr_{ic}(H) \subseteq H$ . Then  $CL_{ic}(H) \setminus Int_{ic}(H) \subseteq H$ , and so  $CL_{ic}(H) \subseteq H$ . Since  $Int_{ic}(H) \subseteq H$ . Noticing that  $H \subseteq CL_{ic}(H)$ , we have  $H = CL_{ic}(H)$ . Therefore;  $H$  is ( $ic$ -cs). ■

**Definition 2.20.** For a subset  $H$  of  $X$ ,  $Ext_{ic}(H) = Int_{ic}(X \setminus H)$  is said to be an  $ic$ -exterior of  $H$ .

**Example 2.21.** Assume  $(X, \tau)$  be a TS in Example 2.9 For subset  $H = \{2\}$  and  $K = \{1\}$  of  $X$ , we have  $Ext_{ic}(H) = \{1\}$  and  $Ext_{ic}(K) = \{2\}$ .

**Proposition 2.22.** These propositions are true for a subset  $A$  of a space  $X$ :

1.  $Ext_{ic}(A)$  is  $ic$ -open;
2.  $Ext_{ic}(A) = Int_{ic}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus CL_{ic}(A)$ ;
3. If  $A \subset B$ , then  $Ext_{ic}(A) \supset Ext_{ic}(B)$ ;
4.  $Ext_{ic}(A \cup B) \subset Ext_{ic}(A) \cap Ext_{ic}(B)$ ;
5.  $Ext_{ic}(A \cap B) \supset Ext_{ic}(A) \cup Ext_{ic}(B)$ ;
6.  $Ext_{ic}(X) = \emptyset$ ;
7.  $Ext_{ic}(\emptyset) = X$ ;

8.  $Ext_{ic}(A) = Ext_{ic}(X \setminus Ext_{ic}(A))$ ;

9.  $X = Int_{ic}(A) \cup Ext_{ic}(A) \cup Fr_{ic}(A)$ .

**Proof.** (1) and (2) straightforward.

(3) Assume that  $A \subseteq B$ . Then  $Ext_{ic}(B) = Int_{ic}(X \setminus B) \subseteq Int_{ic}(X \setminus A) = Ext_{ic}(A)$

(4)  $Ext_{ic}(A \cup B) = Int_{ic}(X \setminus (A \cup B)) = Int_{ic}((X \setminus A) \cap (X \setminus B)) \subseteq Int_{ic}(X \setminus A) \cap Int_{ic}(X \setminus B) = Ext_{ic}(A) \cap Ext_{ic}(B)$ .

(5)  $Ext_{ic}(A \cap B) = Int_{ic}(X \setminus (A \cap B)) = Int_{ic}((X \setminus A) \cup (X \setminus B)) \supset Int_{ic}(X \setminus A) \cup Int_{ic}(X \setminus B) = Ext_{ic}(A) \cup Ext_{ic}(B)$ .

(6) and (7) Straightforward.

(8)  $Ext_{ic}(X \setminus Ext_{ic}(A)) = Ext_{ic}(X \setminus Int_{ic}(X \setminus A)) = Int_{ic}(X \setminus (X \setminus Int_{ic}(X \setminus A))) = Int_{ic}(Int_{ic}(X \setminus A)) = Int_{ic}(X \setminus A) = Ext_{ic}(A)$ .

(9) Straightforward.

**Example 2.23.** If  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}\}$  Then  $\tau^{ic} = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$

1. If  $H = \{1\}$ ,  $K = \{2\}$ . Then  $Ext_{ic}(H \cup K) = \emptyset$ ,  $Ext_{ic}(H) = \{2\}$ ,  $Ext_{ic}(K) = \{1\}$ ,  $Ext_{ic}(H) \cap Ext_{ic}(K) = \emptyset$ , so  $Ext_{ic}(H \cup K) \subset Ext_{ic}(H) \cap Ext_{ic}(K)$

2. If  $H = \{1, 2\}$ ,  $K = \{2\}$ . Then  $Ext_{ic}(H \cap K) = \{1\}$ ,  $Ext_{ic}(H) = \emptyset$ ,  $Ext_{ic}(K) = \{1\}$ ,  $Ext_{ic}(H) \cup Ext_{ic}(K) = \{1\}$ , so  $Ext_{ic}(H \cap K) \supset Ext_{ic}(H) \cup Ext_{ic}(K)$ .

### 3. $ic$ - Continuous Mappings and $ic$ -Homeomorphism

This section is devoted to introduce  $ic$ -open map,  $ic$ -irresolute map,  $ic$ -totally continuous map,  $ic$ -homeomorphism and discussed the relationships between the other known existing map.

**Definition 3.1.** A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is named  $ic$ -open denoted by ( $ic$ -om), if  $f(U)$  is ( $ic$ -os) in  $Y$  for each ( $os$ )  $U$  in  $X$ .

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X = Y = \{3, 5, 7\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{3, 5\}\}$ ,  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{3\}, \{3, 5\}\}$  Then  $\tau^{ic} = \{\emptyset, Y, \{3\}, \{5\}, \{3, 5\}\}$ . Clearly, the identity mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is ( $ic$ -om)

**Proposition 3.3.** Any ( $om$ ) is ( $ic$ -om) but not conversely.

**Proof.** Assume  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be ( $om$ ) and  $H$  be ( $os$ ) in  $X$ . Since,  $f$  is open, then  $f(H)$  is ( $os$ ) in  $Y$ . Since, each ( $os$ ) is ( $ic$ -os) then,  $f(H)$  is ( $ic$ -os) in  $Y$ . Therefore,  $f$  is ( $ic$ -om). ■

If  $X = Y = \{1,2,3\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{2\}, \{1,2\}\}$ ,  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{1\}, \{1,2\}\}$  Then  $\tau^{ic} = \{\emptyset, Y, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1,2\}\}$ . Clearly, the identity mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is (ic-om) but not (om).

**Theorem 3.4.** If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is open &  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  is ic-open, then  $gof: X \rightarrow Z$  is ic-open.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be open &  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  is ic-open. Let  $G$  be an (os) in  $X$ . Since,  $f$  is an open, then  $f(G)$  is an (os) in  $Y$ . Since, each (os) is (ic-os), then  $f(G)$  is (ic-os) in  $Y$ . Since,  $g$  is (ic-os), then  $(gof)(G) = g(f(G))$  is (ic-os) in  $Z$ . Therefore;  $gof: X \rightarrow Z$  is ic-open. ■

**Theorem 3.5.** If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is (ic-conm) and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  is (conm), then  $gof: X \rightarrow Z$  is (ic-conm).

**Proof.** Assume  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be (ic-conm) &  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  is (conm). Let  $G$  be an (os) in  $Z$ . Since,  $g$  is (conm), then  $g^{-1}(G)$  is an (os) in  $Y$ . Since,  $f$  is (ic-conm), then  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(G)) = (gof)^{-1}(G)$  is (ic-os) in  $X$ . Therefore;  $gof: X \rightarrow Z$  is (ic-conm). ■

**Definition 3.6.** Amapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is named ic-irresolute is denoted by (ic-irrem), if the inverse image of every (ic-os) of  $Y$  is (ic-os) in  $X$

**Example 3.7.** If  $X = Y = \{2,4,6\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{2\}, \{2,4\}\}$ ,  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{2\}\}$  Then  $\tau^{ic} = \{\emptyset, X, \{2\}, \{4\}, \{2,4\}\}$ .  $\sigma^{ic} = \{\emptyset, Y, \{2\}\}$

Clearly, the identity mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is (ic-irrem)

**Proposition 3.8.** Each (ic-irrem) is (ic-conm).

**Proof:** Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be (ic-irrem) &  $V$  any (os) in  $Y$ . Since each (os) is (ic-os) and since  $f$  is ic-irresolute, then  $f^{-1}(V)$  is (ic-os) in  $X$ . Therefore;  $f$  is (ic-conm). ■

**Theorem 3.9.** Each (conm) is (ic-irrem) but not conversely.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be (conm) &  $V$  any (ic-os) in  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is (conm), then  $f^{-1}(V)$  is (os) in  $X$ . Since each (os) is (ic-os), then  $f^{-1}(V)$  is (ic-os) in  $X$ . Therefore;  $f$  is (ic-irrem). ■

Let  $X = Y = \{2,4,6\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{2\}, \{2,4\}\}$ ,  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{4\}\}$  Then  $\tau^{ic} = \{\emptyset, X, \{2\}, \{4\}, \{2,4\}\}$ .  $\sigma^{ic} = \{\emptyset, Y, \{4\}\}$

Clearly, the identity mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is (ic-irrem) but not (conm)

**Theorem 3.10.** If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is (ic-irrem) &  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  is (ic-conm), then  $gof: X \rightarrow Z$  is (ic-irrem).

**Proof.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is (ic-irrem) and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  is (ic-conm). Let  $U$  be an (os) in  $Z$ . Then  $U$  is (ic-os) because each (os) is (ic-os). Since,  $g$  is (ic-conm), then  $g^{-1}(U)$  is (ic-os) in  $Y$ . Since,  $f$  is (ic-irrem), then  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U)) = (gof)^{-1}(U)$  is (ic-os) in  $X$ . Therefore;  $gof: X \rightarrow Z$  is (ic-irrem). ■

**Theorem 3.11.** The composition of two (ic-irrem) is also (ic-irrem).

**Proof.** Assume  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  &  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  any two (ic-irrem). Suppose that  $U$  be any (ic-os) in  $Z$ . Since,  $g$  is (ic-irrem), then  $g^{-1}(U)$  is (ic-os) in  $Y$ . Since,  $f$  is (ic-irrem), then  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U)) = (gof)^{-1}(U)$  is (ic-os) in  $X$ . Therefore;  $gof: X \rightarrow Z$  is (ic-irrem). ■

**Definition 3.12.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $TS$ , a bijective map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is named ic-homeomorphism is denoted by (ic-homm) if  $f$  is (ic-conm) and (ic-om).

**Theorem 3.13.** If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is (homm), then  $f$  is (ic-homm) but not conversely.

**Proof:** Since each (conm) is (ic-conm) by Theorem 1.2 (2). Also, since each (om) is (ic-om) by proposition (3.3) Further, since  $f$  is bijective. Therefore,  $f$  is (ic-homm). ■

Let  $X = Y = \{1,2,3\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{2,3\}\}$ ,  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{2\}, \{1,3\}\}$  Then  $\tau^{ic} = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{2\}, \{1,2\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,3\}\}$ .  $\sigma^{ic} = \{\emptyset, Y, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{2\}, \{1,2\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,3\}\}$ . Clearly, the identity mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is (ic-homm) but not (homm)

**Definition 3.14.** Amapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is named ic-totally continuous is denoted by (ic-tconm), If each's reverse, (ic-os) of  $Y$  is (cl-os) in  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.15.** Each (ic-tconm) is totally continuous but not conversely.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be (ic-tconm) and  $V$  be (os) in  $Y$ , since each (os) is (ic-os), then  $V$  is (ic-os) in  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is (ic-tconm), then,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is (cl-os) in  $X$ . Therefore,  $f$  is (tconm). ■

Let  $X = Y = \{1,2,3\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{2,3\}\}$ ,  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{2,3\}\}$  Then  $\sigma^{ic} = \{\emptyset, Y, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{2,3\}\}$ .

Clearly, the identity mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is (tconm) but not (ic-tconm)

**Theorem 3.16.** Each (ic-tconm) is (ic-irrem) but not conversel.

**Proof:** Assume that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be (ic-tconm) and  $V$  be (ic-os) in  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is (ic-tconm), then  $f^{-1}(V)$  is (cl-os) in  $X$ , which implies,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is (os), it follows  $f^{-1}(V)$  is (ic-os) in  $X$ . Therefore;  $f$  is (ic-irrem). ■

Let  $X = Y = \{1,3,5\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{1,3\}\}$ ,  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{3\}\}$  Then  $\tau^{ic} = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1,3\}\}$ .  $\sigma^{ic} = \{\emptyset, Y, \{3\}\}$

Clearly, the identity mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is (ic-irrem) but not (ic-tconm)

**Theorem 3.17.** The two's (ic-tconm) composition is also (ic-tconm).

**Proof:** Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  be any two (ic-tconm). Assume  $V$  be any (ic-os) in  $Z$ . Since,  $g$  is (ic-tconm), then  $g^{-1}(V)$  is (cl-os) in  $Y$ , which implies  $f^{-1}(V)$  is (os), it follows  $f^{-1}(V)$  is (ic-os). Since,  $f$  is (ic-tconm), then,  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) =$

$(gof)^{-1}(V)$  is  $(cl - os)$  in  $X$ . Therefore,  $gof: X \rightarrow Z$  is  $(ic-tconn)$ . ■

**Theorem3.18.** If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be  $(ic-tconn)$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  be  $(ic-irrem)$ , then  $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$  is  $(ic-tconn)$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be  $(ic-tconn)$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  is  $(ic-irrem)$ . Let  $V$  be  $(ic-os)$  in  $Z$ . Since  $g$  is  $(ic-irrem)$  then  $g^{-1}(V)$  is  $(ic-os)$  in  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is  $(ic-tconn)$ , then  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) = (gof)^{-1}(V)$  is  $(cl - os)$  in  $X$ . Therefore,  $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$  is  $(ic-tconn)$ . ■

## References

- [1] Abdullah. B.S, Askander.S,W and Mohammed. A.A, "New Class of Open Sets in Topological Space", Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education, Vol. 13, No. o3(2022), 247-256.  
[2] Askander,S.W and Mohammed,A.A." *i-Open Sets in bi-Topological Spaces*", AL Rafidain Journal of Computer Sciences and Mathematics, 12 (2018), 13-23.

**Theorem3.19.** If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $(ic-tconn)$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  is  $(ic-conn)$ , then  $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$  is  $(tconn)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be  $(ic-tconn)$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  is  $(ic-conn)$ , let  $V$  be  $(os)$  in  $Z$ . Since,  $g$  is  $(ic-conn)$ , then,  $g^{-1}(V)$  is  $(ic-os)$  in  $Y$ . Since,  $f$  is  $(ic-tconn)$ , then,  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) = (gof)^{-1}(V)$  is  $(cl - os)$  in  $X$ . Therefore,  $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$  is  $(tconn)$ . ■

- [3] Njastad. O. (1965) "On some classes of nearly open sets". Pacific Journal of Mathematics, **15**, 961-970. <https://doi.org/10.2140/pim.1965.15.961>.

- [4] Levine. N. (1963) "Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces". The American Mathematical Monthly, **70**, 36-41. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2312781>

## السمات التبولوجية لمجموعات مفتوحة من النمط-ic

بيداء سيهل عبدالله<sup>1</sup>، سامي عبد الله عبد<sup>2</sup>، عامر عبدالاله محمد<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>قسم الرياضيات ، كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة ، جامعة الموصل ، الموصل ، العراق

<sup>2</sup>قسم الاحصاء ، كلية الادارة والاقتصاد ، جامعة ديالى ، ديالى ، العراق

## الملخص

باستخدام فكرة المجموعات المفتوحة من النمط-ic - نقدم ونتحقق من الصفات التبولوجية للانغلاق من النمط-ic الداخلي من النمط-ic ، نقاط الحد من النمط-ic المشتقة من النمط-ic الحدود من النمط-ic والجزء الخارجي من النمط-ic للمجموعة . قدم مفاهيم التطبيقات المستمرة من النمط-ic والتطبيقات المفتوحة من النمط-ic التطبيقات المذبذبة من النمط-ic التطبيقات المستمرة تماما من النمط-ic والتشاكل من النمط-ic ثم ننظر في بعض خصائص هذه التطبيقات.