

# The Distribution of Zeros of the Solutions of Linear Homogeneous Differential Equations of the Sixth Order Using Semi-critical Intervals

Kathim A. H. , Wafaa M. Taha

Department of Mathematics, College of education for pure science, University of Kirkuk , Kirkuk , Iraq  
abbashk1970@yahoo.com

## Abstract

In this pap, we investigate the distribution of zeros for the solution of linear Homogeneous Differential Equations (LHDE) in the semi-critical intervals ,for the boundary value problems. The method used in this paper is different from [1-3] in which the authors used geometric approach to distribute the zeros of the solutions of LHDE. We used an analytic approach. Moreover we stated the relation between semi-critical intervals for the boundary value problems .

**Keywords:** linear Differential Equations, distribution of zeros for the solution, boundary value problems, semi-oscillatory interval, semi-critical interval, fundamental normal solution.

## 1. Introduction

The studies of the distribution of the solution zeros of the LHDE begun in 1960s. Geometric approaches, for LHDE of orders three and four, are commonly used in the literature since they depend on the set of fundamental solutions [1,2]. In [3] the authors considered LHDE of order N. However, their approach is very complicated and requires additional conditions. The authors in [3-9] proposed a different concept to prove the distribution of zeros in which they used the set of the normal fundamental solutions (NFS). Using NFS has the advantage of providing analytical methodology which resulted in important conclusions and easiness to prove them. This study, is an extension of [4-9], where the authors conducted their research paper on fifth order LHDE. In this paper we consider LHDE of sixth order with a boundary conditions of three points (m = 3).

## 2. Definitions and Characteristics

Consider the following boundary value problem

$$x^{(6)} + \sum_{j=0}^5 g_j(x)x^{(j)} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$x(t_i) = \dot{x}(t_i) = \dots = x^{(p_i)}(t_i) = 0 \quad (2)$$

where  $\alpha \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m < \infty$ , m is the number of points  $[t_i, i = 1, \dots, m]$  ,  $p_i$  is the number of conditions at the points  $t_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $g_j(x)$  are continuous on  $[\alpha, \beta)$

Problem (1) and (2) is called  $\ll (p_1 p_2 \dots p_m) - \text{problem} \gg$ .

When the point  $t_1$  is fixed, the family of non-trivial solution of the problem  $\ll (p_1 p_2 \dots p_m) - \text{problem} \gg$  is denoted by  $W_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m}(t, t_1)$ .

**Definition 2-1** [5]: The interval  $[\alpha, \beta)$ , ( $a < \alpha < \beta < \infty$ ) is called semi-oscillatory, if any non-trivial solution for equation (1) has no more than five zeros [including multiplicity] in  $[\alpha, \beta)$ . The largest semi-oscillatory interval that begins at the point  $\alpha$  is denoted by  $[\alpha, r(\alpha))$ .

**Definition 2-2**[6]: The interval  $[\alpha, \gamma)$  where  $\ll (p_1 p_2 \dots p_m) - \text{problem} \gg$  has a unique solution is called semi-critical, and the largest semi-critical

intervals that begins at the point  $\alpha$  is denoted by  $[\alpha, r_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m}(\alpha))$ .

In this research paper, we discuss non-trivial solution of boundary-value problem (1) and (2) in the semi-critical intervals, especially  $\ll (231) - \text{problem} \gg$  where the solution has zero of multiplicity 2 at  $t = t_1$ , and a zero of multiplicity 3 at  $t = t_2$ , and a zero of multiplicity 1 at  $t = t_3$ , where  $\alpha \leq t_1 < t_2 < r_{231}(\alpha) < t_3$ .

The first zero after  $t = t_2$  is denoted by  $r_{231}(\alpha, t_1, t_2)$ , it's clearly that  $r_{231}(\alpha) \leq r_{231}(\alpha, t_1, t_2)$  and  $r_{231}(\alpha) = \inf r_{231}(\alpha, t_1, t_2)$ . (3)

Generally, the first zero after  $t = t_{m-1}$  is denoted by  $r_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m}(\alpha, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{m-1})$  for which  $r_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m}(\alpha) = \inf r_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m}(\alpha, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{m-1})$  (4) where  $p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_m = 6$ .

**Lemma 2-1** [7]: The function  $r_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m}(\alpha, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{m-1})$  (where  $t_1$  is fixed) is continuous from the right (the right limit exists) at the points  $t_2, t_3, \dots, t_{m-1}$  in the set  $R_{m-1}[\alpha, \infty)$ . i.e.

$$\lim_{\substack{t_2 \rightarrow t_2^0 \\ t_3 \rightarrow t_3^0 \\ \vdots \\ t_{m-1} \rightarrow t_{m-1}^0}} r_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m}(\alpha, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{m-1}) = r_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m}(\alpha, t_1, t_2^0, t_3^0, \dots, t_{m-1}^0).$$

**Lemma 2-2** [7]: The set of fundamental normal solution for equation (1) (i.e.  $\{u_j(t, t_1), j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ ) with respect to  $t_1$  has the following forms

$$u_j^{(i)}(t, t_1) = (t - t_1)^{j-i} \psi_{ij}(t, t_1), \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1 \quad (5)$$

where

$$\psi_{ij}(t_1, t_1) = \begin{cases} u_j^{(i)}(t_1, t_1) & j \geq i \\ \frac{1}{(j-i)!} & j < i \\ 0 & \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

## 3. Main Results

In this section we present two theorems for the distribution of zeros for the solutions of LHDEs in the semi-critical intervals.

**Theorem 3-1:**In the interval  $[\alpha, r_{231}(\alpha))$ , any non-trivial solution (for the equation (1)) that has a zero at  $t_1$  of multiplicity five cannot have a simple zero to the right of  $t_1$ , i.e.  $r_{231}(\alpha) \leq r_{51}(\alpha)$ , when  $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$ .

**Proof:** First of all we show that the family of non-trivial solution for  $\ll (231) - \text{problem} \gg$  at the fixed point  $t_1$  contains at least one solution that becomes a non-trivial solution for  $\ll (51) - \text{problem} \gg$  when  $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$ .

$$\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow t_1} W_{231}(t, t_1) = W_{51}(t, t_1)$$

From Vallee Poinsnee theorem, for each  $t_1 \in [\alpha, r(\alpha))$ , there exists a semi-oscillatory interval  $[t_1, r(t_1))$  [10]. Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ , such that  $[t_1, t_1 + \varepsilon) \subset [t_1, r(t_1))$ .

And let  $\{u_0(t, t_1), u_1(t, t_1), \dots, u_5(t, t_1)\}$  be a set of the normal fundamental solutions for (1) with respect to  $t_1$ , i.e.

$$u_j^{(i)}(t_1, t_1) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \quad i \neq j \\ 1 & , \quad i = j \end{cases}$$

Thus, the family of non-trivial solution for the equation (1) can be written as:

$$W(t, t_1) = \sum_{j=p_1}^5 c_j u_j(t, t_1) \quad (7)$$

where  $c_j$  is an arbitrary constants.

From the boundary condition for  $\ll (231) - \text{problem} \gg$  we get the following homogeneous system .

$$\sum_{j=p_1}^5 c_j u_j^{(k_i)}(t_i, t_1) = 0 \quad (8)$$

where

$$k_i = 0, 1, \dots, p_i - 1 ; \quad i = 2, 3 ; \quad \sum_{i=1}^m p_i = 6$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for the system (8) to have a non-trivial solution ( for unknown  $c_j$ s) is :

$$D(t_1, t_2) = \det. (u_j^{(k_i)}(t_i, t_1) : j = 2, 3, 4, 5 ; k_i = 0, 1, \dots, p_i - 1 ; i = 2, 3) = 0$$

The rank of the matrix of system (8) is equal to 3 and it's different from zero. that is

$$\Delta(t_1, t_2) = \begin{vmatrix} u_3(t_2, t_1) & u_4(t_2, t_1) & u_5(t_2, t_1) \\ \dot{u}_3(t_2, t_1) & \dot{u}_4(t_2, t_1) & \dot{u}_5(t_2, t_1) \\ \ddot{u}_3(t_2, t_1) & \ddot{u}_4(t_2, t_1) & \ddot{u}_5(t_2, t_1) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

where  $\alpha \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_1 + \varepsilon$ .

In fact, if  $\Delta(t_1, t_2) = 0$ , then the homogeneous system has a non-trivial solution  $\bar{c}_3, \bar{c}_4$  and  $\bar{c}_5$  in  $[t_1, t_1 + \varepsilon)$ . Thus, the nontrivial solution for the equation (1):  $W(t, t_1) = \bar{c}_3 u_3(t, t_1) + \bar{c}_4 u_4(t, t_1) + \bar{c}_5 u_5(t, t_1)$  has six zeros in the  $[t_1, t_1 + \varepsilon)$  where  $[t_1, t_1 + \varepsilon) \subset [t_1, r(t_1))$ . Three of six zeros are at the point  $t_1$  and the other three zeros at the point  $t_2$ , this contradicts the concept of semi-oscillatory interval.

In the system (8), the first three equation constitute a system of nonhomogeneous equation

$$\begin{aligned} c_3 u_3(t_2, t_1) + c_4 u_4(t_2, t_1) + c_5 u_5(t_2, t_1) &= -c_2 u_2(t_2, t_1) \\ c_3 \dot{u}_3(t_2, t_1) + c_4 \dot{u}_3(t_2, t_1) + c_5 \dot{u}_3(t_2, t_1) &= -c_2 \dot{u}_2(t_2, t_1) \\ c_3 \ddot{u}_3(t_2, t_1) + c_4 \ddot{u}_4(t_2, t_1) + c_5 \ddot{u}_5(t_2, t_1) &= -c_2 \ddot{u}_2(t_2, t_1) \end{aligned}$$

Using Grammar-method, we find the values of  $c_3, c_4$  and  $c_5$ . Note that  $c_2$  is a free parameter depends on  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  that is  $c_2 = c_2(t_1, t_2)$  then the general of non-trivial solution for  $\ll (231) - \text{problem} \gg$  depends on  $c_2$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} W_{231}(t, t_1) &= c_2 u_2(t, t_1) + \frac{\Delta_3(t_1, t_2)}{\Delta(t_1, t_2)} u_3(t, t_1) \\ &+ \frac{\Delta_4(t_1, t_2)}{\Delta(t_1, t_2)} u_4(t, t_1) + \\ &+ \frac{\Delta_5(t_1, t_2)}{\Delta(t_1, t_2)} u_5(t, t_1) \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta_i(t_1, t_2)$ ,  $i = 3, 4, 5$  can be obtained from  $\Delta(t_1, t_2)$  replacing  $(-c_2 u_2(t, t_1) \quad -c_2 \dot{u}_2(t, t_1) \quad -c_2 \ddot{u}_2(t, t_1))^T$  by first, second and third columns respectively.

From the equations (5) and (9) we find

$$\begin{aligned} W_{231}(t, t_1) &= -c_2 \left( -u_2(t, t_1) \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{(t_2 - t_1)} \frac{\alpha(t_1, t_2)}{\delta(t_1, t_2)} u_3(t, t_1) \\ &+ \frac{1}{(t_2 - t_1)^2} \frac{\beta(t_1, t_2)}{\delta(t_1, t_2)} u_4(t, t_1) \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{(t_2 - t_1)^3} \frac{\gamma(t_1, t_2)}{\delta(t_1, t_2)} u_5(t, t_1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(t_1, t_2) &= \psi_{03}(t_2, t_1) \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{14}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{15}(t_2, t_1) \\ \psi_{24}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{25}(t_2, t_1) \end{vmatrix} - \\ &\psi_{13}(t_2, t_1) \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{04}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{05}(t_2, t_1) \\ \psi_{24}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{25}(t_2, t_1) \end{vmatrix} + \\ &+ \psi_{23}(t_2, t_1) \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{04}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{05}(t_2, t_1) \\ \psi_{14}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{15}(t_2, t_1) \end{vmatrix} \\ \alpha(t_1, t_2) &= \psi_{02}(t_2, t_1) \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{14}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{15}(t_2, t_1) \\ \psi_{24}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{25}(t_2, t_1) \end{vmatrix} - \\ &\psi_{12}(t_2, t_1) \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{04}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{05}(t_2, t_1) \\ \psi_{24}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{25}(t_2, t_1) \end{vmatrix} + \\ &+ \psi_{22}(t_2, t_1) \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{04}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{05}(t_2, t_1) \\ \psi_{14}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{15}(t_2, t_1) \end{vmatrix} \\ \beta(t_1, t_2) &= \psi_{03}(t_2, t_1) \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{12}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{15}(t_2, t_1) \\ \psi_{22}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{25}(t_2, t_1) \end{vmatrix} - \\ &\psi_{13}(t_2, t_1) \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{02}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{05}(t_2, t_1) \\ \psi_{22}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{25}(t_2, t_1) \end{vmatrix} + \\ &+ \psi_{23}(t_2, t_1) \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{02}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{05}(t_2, t_1) \\ \psi_{12}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{15}(t_2, t_1) \end{vmatrix} \\ \gamma(t_1, t_2) &= \psi_{03}(t_2, t_1) \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{14}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{12}(t_2, t_1) \\ \psi_{24}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{22}(t_2, t_1) \end{vmatrix} - \\ &\psi_{13}(t_2, t_1) \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{04}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{02}(t_2, t_1) \\ \psi_{24}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{22}(t_2, t_1) \end{vmatrix} + \\ &+ \psi_{23}(t_2, t_1) \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{04}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{02}(t_2, t_1) \\ \psi_{14}(t_2, t_1) & \psi_{12}(t_2, t_1) \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $c_2$  is an arbitrary constant, we assume that  $c_2(t_2, t_1) = -(t_2 - t_1)^3$ . By taking the limit of both sides when  $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$  we obtain ,

$$\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow t_1} W_{231}(t, t_1) = c(t_1)u_5(t, t_1) \quad (10)$$

Where  $c(t_1) = \frac{\gamma(t_1, t_1)}{\delta(t_1, t_1)}$

And from equation (6) we find  $\gamma(t_1, t_1) = \frac{1}{144}$  ,  $\delta(t_1, t_1) = \frac{1}{8640}$  . By substituting in equation (10), we find

$$\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow t_1} W_{231}(t, t_1) = 60u_5(t, t_1) \quad (11)$$

Thus we proved that the family of non-trivial solution  $\ll (231) - \text{problem} \gg$  contains a solution that becomes a solution for  $\ll (51) - \text{problem} \gg$  when  $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$ .

By the lemma (1) The function  $r_{231}(\alpha, t_1, t_2)$  is continuous from the right, then we get the following inequality

$$\inf_{\alpha \leq t_1 < t_2 < r_{231}(\alpha)} r_{231}(\alpha, t_1, t_2) \leq \inf_{\alpha \leq t_1 < r_{231}(\alpha)} r_{231}(\alpha, t_1) \quad (12)$$

where

$$\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow t_1} r_{231}(\alpha, t_1, t_2) = r_{231}(\alpha, t_1)$$

From equations (3) and (11), we find

$$\inf_{\alpha \leq t_1 < t_2 < r_{231}(\alpha)} r_{231}(\alpha, t_1, t_2) = r_{231}(\alpha) \quad (13)$$

$$\inf_{\alpha \leq t_1 < r_{231}(\alpha)} r_{231}(\alpha, t_1) = r_{51}(\alpha) \quad (14)$$

And from (12), (13) and (14), we get  $r_{231}(\alpha) \leq r_{51}(\alpha)$  ■

**Theorem 3-2:**In the interval  $[\alpha, r_{321}(\alpha))$  ,any non-trivial solution (for the equation (1)) that has a zero at  $t_1$  of multiplicity five cannot have a simple zero to the right of  $t_1$  i.e.  $r_{321}(\alpha) \leq r_{51}(\alpha)$ , when  $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$ .

**Proof:** From Vallee Poiseine theorem, for each  $t_1 \in [\alpha, r(\alpha))$ , there exists a semi-oscillatory interval  $[t_1, r(t_1))$  [10]. Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ , such that  $[t_1, t_1 + \varepsilon) \subset [t_1, r(t_1))$ .

And let  $\{u_0(t, t_1), u_1(t, t_1), \dots, u_5(t, t_1)\}$  be a set of the normal fundamental solutions for (1) with respect  $t_1$  .

Thus, the family of non-trivial solution for the equation (1) can be written as :

$$W(t, t_1) = \sum_{j=p_1}^5 c_j u_j(t, t_1) \quad (15)$$

where  $c_j$  is an arbitrary constants.

From the boundary condition for  $\ll (321) - \text{problem} \gg$  we get the following homogeneous system.

$$\sum_{j=p_1}^5 c_j u_j^{(k_i)}(t_i, t_1) = 0 \quad (16)$$

where

$$k_i = 0, 1, \dots, p_i - 1; \quad i = 2, 3; \quad \sum_{i=1}^m p_i = 6$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for the system (16) to have a non-trivial solution ( for unknown  $c_j$ s) is:

$$D(t_1, t_2) = \det. \left( u_j^{(k_i)}(t_i, t_1) : j = 3, 4, 5; \quad k_i = 0, 1, \dots, p_i - 1; \quad i = 2, 3 \right) = 0$$

The rank of the matrix of system (16) is equal to 2 and it's different from zero.

That is

$$\Delta(t_1, t_2) = \begin{vmatrix} u_4(t_2, t_1) & u_5(t_2, t_1) \\ \dot{u}_4(t_2, t_1) & \dot{u}_5(t_2, t_1) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

where  $\alpha \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_1 + \varepsilon$ .

In fact, if  $\Delta(t_1, t_2) = 0$  then the homogeneous system has a non-trivial solution  $\bar{c}_4$  and  $\bar{c}_5$  in  $[t_1, t_1 + \varepsilon)$ . Thus, the nontrivial solution for the equation (1),  $W(t, t_1) = \bar{c}_4 u_4(t, t_1) + \bar{c}_5 u_5(t, t_1)$  has six zeros in the semi-oscillatory interval  $[t_1, t_1 + \varepsilon)$  where  $[t_1, t_1 + \varepsilon) \subset [t_1, r(t_1))$  four of six zeros are at the point  $t_1$  and the other two zeros at the point  $t_2$ , this contradicts the concept of semi-oscillatory interval.

In the system (16), the first two equations constitute a system of nonhomogeneous equation

$$c_4 u_4(t_2, t_1) + c_5 u_5(t_2, t_1) = -c_3 u_3(t_2, t_1)$$

$$c_4 \dot{u}_4(t_2, t_1) + c_5 \dot{u}_5(t_2, t_1) = -c_3 \dot{u}_3(t_2, t_1)$$

Using Grammar-method, we find the values of  $c_4$  and  $c_5$ . Note that  $c_3$  is a free parameter depends on  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  that is  $c_3 = c_3(t_1, t_2)$  then the family of non-trivial solution for  $\ll (321) - \text{problem} \gg$  depends on  $c_3$ . i.e.

$$W_{321}(t, t_1) = c_3 u_3(t, t_1) + \frac{\Delta_4(t_1, t_2)}{\Delta(t_1, t_2)} u_4(t, t_1) + \frac{\Delta_5(t_1, t_2)}{\Delta(t_1, t_2)} u_5(t, t_1) \quad (17)$$

where  $\Delta_i(t_1, t_2), i = 4, 5$  can be obtained from  $\Delta(t_1, t_2)$  replacing  $(-c_3 u_2(t, t_1) - c_2 \dot{u}_3(t, t_1))^T$  by first and second columns respectively.

From the equations (5) and (17) we find

$$W_{231}(t, t_1) = -c_3 \left( -u_3(t, t_1) + \frac{1}{t_2 - t_1} \frac{\alpha(t_1, t_2)}{\delta(t_1, t_2)} u_4(t, t_1) + \frac{1}{(t_2 - t_1)^2} \frac{\beta(t_1, t_2)}{\delta(t_1, t_2)} u_4(t, t_1) \right)$$

where

$$\delta(t_1, t_2) = \psi_{04}(t_2, t_1)\psi_{15}(t_2, t_1) - \psi_{14}(t_2, t_1)\psi_{05}(t_2, t_1)$$

$$\alpha(t_1, t_2) = \psi_{03}(t_2, t_1)\psi_{15}(t_2, t_1) - \psi_{13}(t_2, t_1)\psi_{05}(t_2, t_1)$$

$$\beta(t_1, t_2) = \psi_{04}(t_2, t_1)\psi_{13}(t_2, t_1) - \psi_{14}(t_2, t_1)\psi_{03}(t_2, t_1)$$

Since  $c_3$  is an arbitrary constant, we assume that  $c_3(t_2, t_1) = (t_2 - t_1)^2$ . By taking the limit of both sides when  $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$  we obtain,

$$\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow t_1} W_{321}(t, t_1) = c(t_1)u_5(t, t_1) \quad (18)$$

where  $c(t_1) = -\frac{\beta(t_1, t_1)}{\delta(t_1, t_1)}$ .

And from equation (6) we find  $\beta(t_1, t_1) = -\frac{1}{144}$  and  $\delta(t_1, t_1) = \frac{1}{2880}$  By substituting in equation (18), we can find

$$\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow t_1} W_{321}(t, t_1) = 20u_5(t, t_1) \quad (19)$$

Thus we proved that the family of non-trivial solution  $\ll (321) - \text{problem} \gg$  contains a solution that becomes a solution for  $\ll (51) - \text{problem} \gg$  when  $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$ .

By the lemma (1) The function  $r_{321}(\alpha, t_1, t_2)$  is continuous from the right, then we get the following inequality

$$\inf_{\alpha \leq t_1 < t_2 < r_{321}(\alpha)} r_{321}(\alpha, t_1, t_2) \leq \inf_{\alpha \leq t_1 < r_{321}(\alpha)} r_{321}(\alpha, t_1) \quad (20)$$

## Reference

- [1]- Alive R. G., 1962, On the question of distribution of zeros of solutions of linear differential equations of fourth order, Recnts. of Sci. Asp. Conf., Kazan Ins . .
- [2]- Peterson A. C., 1969, Distribution of Zeros Solution of Fourth Order Differential Equation, Pacific Journal of mathematics, Vol.30, P 751-764.
- [3]-Jerry R. Ridenhouk, 1974, On the Zeros of Solutions of Nth Order Linear Differential Equations , Journal of Differential Equations 16, p 45-71.
- [4]- Al-Jawfi S.A., Kathim A.H., 2012.The laws of the distribution of zeros of solutions of a linear differential equation of order 5. Herald of Dagestan state university ( DSU). Vol. 1,p. 79–86.
- [5]- Al-Jawfi S.A.,2012, Limit relations between the semi-critical intervals solutions of multipoint boundary value problems for linear fifth-order differential equation. Herald of Dagestan state university ( DSU). Vol. 6, p. 61–66.
- [6]- Al-Jawfi S.A., 2012, On the disappearance non-trivial solutions of multipoint boundary value problems in the non-trivial in the case of a linear differential equation of fifth order, Herald of Dagestan state university (DSU). Vol. 6,p. 119–126.
- [7]- Al-Jawfi S.A.,2012, On nontrivial solutions of the homogeneous multi-point boundary value

where

$$\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow t_1} r_{321}(\alpha, t_1, t_2) = r_{321}(\alpha, t_1)$$

From equations (4) and (19), we find

$$\inf_{\alpha \leq t_1 < t_2 < r_{321}(\alpha)} r_{321}(\alpha, t_1, t_2) = r_{321}(\alpha) \quad (21)$$

$$\inf_{\alpha \leq t_1 < r_{321}(\alpha)} r_{321}(\alpha, t_1) = r_{51}(\alpha) \quad (22)$$

And from (20),(21)and (22), we get  $r_{321}(\alpha) \leq r_{51}(\alpha)$  ■

## Future Work:

This study may be extended in two different ways, once by considering the case where  $r_{141}(\alpha) \leq r_{51}(\alpha)$  and  $r_{411}(\alpha) \leq r_{51}(\alpha)$  and by considering boundary conditions with higher number of points.

problems for linear fifth-order differential equation, Herald of Dagestan state university ( DSU). Vol. 6, p. 87–92.

[8]- Al-Jawfi S.A., MD Jasim, Kathim A.H., 2012, The laws of the distribution of zeros of solutions boundary value problem Vallée Poussin of linear differential equation of the fifth-order // Modern methods of boundary value problems(Spring materials Voronezh Mathematical School "Pontryagin reading - XXI»). Voronezh., p. 6-9.

[9]- Al-Jawfi S.A., Kathim A.H., 2012, The laws of the distribution of zeros of solutions of a linear differential equation of order 5// Collection of scientific articles on materials of the IV-th International scientific-practical conference, Stavropol, Samara State Aerospace University September 28-29, p. 103-109.

[10]- G. Sansone, 1953, Ordinary Differential Equations, Part 1, Moscow, (in Russian).

[11]- Shan Wenrui, Niu Zhilei, Guo Yanping, 2007, The distribution of zeros of solutions for a class of neutral delay differential equations, Science Direct Applied Mathematics and Computation 186 (2007), p 1137–1150.

## توزيع اصفار الحلول للمعادلات التفاضلية الخطية المتجانسة من الرتبة السادسة باستخدام

### الفترة دون الدرجة

عباس حسين كاظم ، وفاء محي الدين طه

قسم الرياضيات ، كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة ، جامعة كركوك ، كركوك ، العراق

## المخلص

في هذا البحث درسنا توزيع اصفار الحلول للمعادلات التفاضلية الخطية المتجانسة في الفترات شبه الدرجة لمسائل القيم الحدودية . الطريقة المتبعة في هذا البحث يختلف عن [1-3] والذي استخدم المؤلفون النهج الهندسي لتوزيع اصفار الحلول للمعادلات التفاضلية الخطية المتجانسة. اما نحن فقد استخدمنا المنهج التحليلي لتوزيع اصفار الحلول للمعادلات التفاضلية من الرتبة السادسة. بالإضافة على ذلك بينا العلاقة بين الفترات شبه الدرجة لمسائل القيم الحدودية.