



## Nearly Quasi 2-Absorbing submodule

Haibt K. Mohammadali , Khalaf H Alhabeeb

Department of Mathematics , College of Computer Science and Mathematics , Tikrit University , Tikrit , Iraq

### ARTICLE INFO.

#### Article history:

-Received: 10 / 4 / 2018

-Accepted: 20 / 5 / 2018

-Available online: / / 2018

**Keywords:** quasi prime submodules, nearly quasi prime submodules, 2-absorbing submodules, nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodules

#### Corresponding Author:

**Name:** Khalaf H Alhabeeb

**E-mail:**

[khalif.alhabeeb@gmail.com](mailto:khalif.alhabeeb@gmail.com)

**Tel:**

### 1 – Introduction

Al-Mothafar and Abdul-Al kalik in 2016 introduce the concept of nearly quasi prime submodules as generalization of prime (quasi prime) submodules, where "a proper submodule  $E$  of an  $R$ -module  $X$  is called nearly quasi prime, if whenever  $abx \in E$ , with  $a, b \in R, x \in X$ , implies that, either  $ax \in E + J(X)$ ,  $bx \in E + J(X)$  [1]", and "a proper submodule  $E$  of an  $R$ -module  $X$  is called quasi prime if whenever  $abx \in E$  with  $a, b \in R, x \in X$ , implies that, either  $ax \in E$  or  $bx \in E$  [2]", and a proper submodule  $E$  of an  $R$ -module  $X$  is called prime if whenever  $ax \in E, a \in R, x \in X$ , implies that  $x \in E$  or  $a \in [E : X]$  [3], where  $[E : X] = \{ r \in R : rX \subseteq E \}$ . Many basic properties of nearly quasi-2-absorbing submodules are given, also many characterizations of this concept are establish see proposition (2.6) and corollary (2.7). Also, we show that the intersection of two nearly quasi-2-absorbing submodules need not to be nearly quasi-2-absorbing, but under certain condition it satisfy see Remark (2.8), proposition ( 2.11).

### 2 - Nearly quasi-2-absorbing submodules

Recall that a proper submodule  $E$  of an  $R$ -module  $X$  is 2-absorbing if whenever  $abx \in E$  with  $a, b \in R, x \in X$ , implies that either  $ax \in E$  or  $bx \in E$  or  $ab \in [E : X]$ [4]. We introduce in this section the definition of nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodules as generalization of prime , quasi prime , nearly quasi prime submodules, and 2-absorbing submodules.

#### Definition ( 2 . 1)

### Abstract

All rings in this note are commutative rings with identity, and all  $R$ -modules are left unitary. "A proper submodule  $E$  of an  $R$ -module  $X$  is called nearly quasi prime submodule, if whenever  $abx \in E$ , with  $a, b \in R, x \in X$ , implies that, either  $ax \in E + J(X)$  or  $bx \in E + J(X)$ ", where  $J(X)$  is the Jacobson radical of  $X$ . this led us to introduce the concept a nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule as a generalization of nearly quasi prime submodules and 2-absorbing submodules, where a proper submodule  $E$  of an  $R$ -module  $X$  is called nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule of  $X$ , if whenever  $abcx \in E$ , where  $a, b, c \in R, x \in X$  implies that either  $abx \in E + J(X)$  or  $acx \in E + J(X)$  or  $bcx \in E + J(X)$ . We study the basic properties of nearly quasi 2-absorbing. Moreover, the relations of nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule with other classes of modules are established. Also, characterization, and examples are given.

A proper submodule  $E$  of an  $R$ -module  $X$  is said to be nearly quasi 2-absorbing , if whenever  $rstx \in E, r, s, t \in R, x \in X$ , implies that  $rsx \in E + J(X)$  or  $rtx \in E + J(X)$  or  $stx \in E + J(X)$ .

And a proper ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is called nearly quasi 2-absorbing ideal if  $I$  is nearly quasi-2-absorbing submodule , of  $R$ -module  $R$ .

#### Remarks and Examples (2.2)

1– Every prime submodule of an  $R$ -module  $X$  is nearly quasi-2-absorbing, while the converse is not true in general.

#### Proof

Assume that  $E$  is a prime submodule of an  $R$ -module  $X$ , and  $rstx \in E, r, s, t \in R, x \in X$ , that is  $rs(tx) \in E$ , suppose that  $tx \notin E$  and  $stx \notin E + J(X)$ ,  $rstx \notin E + J(X)$ . since  $E$  is a prime and  $tx \notin E$ , it follows that  $rs \in [E : X]$ , that is  $rsx \in E \subseteq E + J(X)$  for each  $x \in X$ , hence  $rsx \in E + J(X)$ .

For the converse consider the following example:- let  $X = Z, R = Z$  and  $E = 6Z$  is a submodule of  $X$ ,  $6Z$  is nearly quasi-2-absorbing submodule of  $X$  but  $6Z$  is not prime submodule of  $X$ .

2– it is clear that every nearly quasi prime submodule of  $X$  is nearly quasi-2-absorbing , while the converse need not to be true.

For the converse consider the following example:-

Let  $X = Z \oplus Z, R = Z, E = 10Z \oplus (0)$  it is clear that  $E$  is nearly quasi-2-absorbing submodule of  $X$ , but  $E$  is not nearly quasi prime submodule of  $X$ , since  $2 \cdot 5$

$(1,0) \in E$ ,  $2, 5 \in Z$ ,  $(1, 0) \in X$ , but  $2 \cdot (1,0) \notin E + J(X)$  and  $5 \cdot (1,0) \notin E + J(X)$ .

3 – It is clear that every 2-absorbing submodule is nearly quasi-2-absorbing. For the converse consider the following example:-

Let  $X = Z \oplus Z$ ,  $R = Z$ ,  $E = (0) \oplus 35Z$ ,  $E$  is nearly quasi-2-absorbing but not 2-absorbing, since  $5 \cdot 7(0,1) \in E$ ,  $5(0,1) \notin E$  and  $7(0,1) \notin E$  and  $5 \cdot 7 \notin [E : X] = (0)$ .

4 – It is clear that every quasi prime submodule of  $X$  is nearly quasi-2-absorbing, but the converse is not true in general.

For the converse consider the following example:- let  $X = Z_8 \oplus Z$  and  $R = Z$ ,  $E = \langle 4 \rangle \oplus Z$ , it is clear that  $E$  is nearly quasi-2-absorbing, but not quasi prime, since  $2 \cdot (\bar{1},1) \in E = \langle 4 \rangle \oplus Z$ , but  $2(\bar{1},1) \notin E$ .

**Proposition (2.3)**

If  $E$  and  $K$  are two submodules of an  $R$ -module  $X$  with  $E \not\subseteq K$ , and  $E$  is nearly quasi-2-absorbing submodule of  $X$  such that  $J(X) \subseteq J(K)$ , then  $E$  is a nearly quasi-2-absorbing submodule of  $K$ .

**Proof**

Let  $rsx \in E$ ,  $r, s, t \in R$ ,  $x \in K \subseteq X$ , then either  $rsx \in E + J(X)$  or  $rtx \in E + J(X)$  or  $stx \in E + J(X)$ . But  $J(X) \subseteq J(K)$ , hence either  $rsx \in E + J(K)$  or  $rtx \in E + J(K)$  or  $stx \in E + J(K)$ .

**Proposition (2.4)**

If  $H$  is nearly quasi-2-absorbing submodule of  $X$  and  $J(X) \subseteq H$ , then  $H$  is a weakly quasi-2-absorbing in  $X$ .

**Proof**

It is clear

**Proposition (2.5)**

Let  $H$  be a proper submodule of an  $R$ -module  $X$ , if  $[H+J(X):(x)]$  is quasi prime ideal of  $R$  for each  $x \in X$ , then  $H$  is nearly quasi-2-absorbing in  $X$ .

**Proof**

Let  $rsx \in H$ ,  $r, s, t \in R$ ,  $x \in X$ , and  $rsx \notin H + J(X)$ , implies that  $rsx \in H + J(X)$ , hence  $rst \in [H+J(X):(x)]$ , it follows that either  $rt \in [H+J(X):(x)]$  or  $st \in [H+J(X):(x)]$ , that is either  $rtx \in H + J(X)$  or  $stx \in H + J(X)$ .

The following proposition are characterization of nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodules.

**Proposition (2.6)**

A submodule  $H$  of an  $R$ -module  $X$  is nearly quasi 2-absorbing in  $X$  if and only if for every ideals  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  of  $R$ , and submodules  $F$  of  $X$ , with  $I_1 I_2 I_3 F \subseteq H$ , implies that either  $I_1 I_2 F \subseteq H + J(X)$  or  $I_1 I_3 F \subseteq H + J(X)$  or  $I_2 I_3 F \subseteq H + J(X)$ .

**Proof**

$\Rightarrow$

Assume that  $I_1 I_2 I_3 F \subseteq H$ , where  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  are ideals and  $F$  is a submodule of  $X$ , and suppose that  $I_1 I_2 F \not\subseteq H + J(X)$  or  $I_1 I_3 F \not\subseteq H + J(X)$  or  $I_2 I_3 F \not\subseteq H + J(X)$ . So there exists  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in F$  and  $a_1 \in I_1, a_2 \in I_2, a_3 \in I_3$  such that  $a_1 a_2 x_1 \notin H + J(X)$  and  $a_1 a_3 x_2 \notin H + J(X)$  and  $a_2 a_3 x_3 \notin H + J(X)$ . Since  $H$  is a nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule of  $X$  and  $a_1 a_2 a_3 x_1 \in H$  and  $a_1 a_2 x_1 \notin H + J(X)$ , then we have  $a_1 a_3 x_1 \in H + J(X)$  or  $a_2 a_3 x_1 \in H + J(X)$ . Also  $a_1 a_2 a_3 x_2 \in H$

and  $a_1 a_3 x_2 \notin H + J(X)$ , implies that either  $a_1 a_2 x_2 \in H + J(X)$  or  $a_2 a_3 x_2 \in H + J(X)$ . Also  $a_1 a_2 a_3 x_3 \in H$ , and  $a_2 a_3 x_3 \notin H + J(X)$ , implies that either  $a_1 a_2 x_3 \in H + J(X)$  or  $a_1 a_3 x_3 \in H + J(X)$ . Thus either  $I_1 I_2 F \subseteq H + J(X)$  or  $I_1 I_3 F \subseteq H + J(X)$  or  $I_2 I_3 F \subseteq H + J(X)$ .

$\Leftarrow$

Assume that  $a_1 a_2 a_3 x \in H$ ,  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in R$ ,  $x \in X$ , then  $(a_1)(a_2)(a_3)(x) \subseteq H$ , so either  $(a_1)(a_2)(x) \subseteq H + J(X)$  or  $(a_1)(a_3)(x) \subseteq H + J(X)$  or  $(a_2)(a_3)(x) \subseteq H + J(X)$ , hence either  $a_1 a_2 x \in H + J(X)$  or  $a_1 a_3 x \in H + J(X)$  or  $a_2 a_3 x \in H + J(X)$ .

**Proposition (2.7)**

A submodule  $H$  of an  $R$ -module  $X$  is nearly quasi 2-absorbing in  $X$  if and only if for each submodule  $F$  of  $X$  and for each  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in R$ , such that  $a_1 a_2 a_3 F \subseteq H$ , implies that either  $a_1 a_2 F \subseteq H + J(X)$  or  $a_1 a_3 F \subseteq H + J(X)$  or  $a_2 a_3 F \subseteq H + J(X)$ .

**Proof**

Direct

**Remark (2.8)**

The intersection of two nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodules of an  $R$ -module  $X$  need not to be nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule of  $X$ , as the following example shows that:-

Let  $X = Z$  and  $R = Z$ ,  $H = 9Z$ ,  $K = 2Z$ ,  $H$  and  $K$  are nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodules of  $X$ , but  $H \cap K = 18Z$  is not nearly quasi 2-absorbing in  $X$ , since  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 \in 18Z$ , but  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 = 6 \notin 18Z + J(X)$  and  $3 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 = 9 \notin 18Z + J(X)$ . Hence  $18Z$  is not nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule of  $X$ .

" Recall that a ring  $R$  is a good ring if  $J(R) X = X$ , where  $X$  is an  $R$ -module [5]"

**Lemma (2.9) [5]**

If  $R$  is a good ring and  $N$  is a submodule of an  $R$ -module  $X$ , then  $J(X) \cap N = (N)$ ."

**Lemma (2.10) [5, lemma 2.3.15]**

Let  $X$  be an  $R$ -module, and  $A, B$  and  $C$  are submodules of  $X$  with  $B \not\subseteq C$ . Then  $(A + B) \cap C = (A \cap C) + B = (A \cap C) + (B \cap C)$ ."

**Proposition (2.11)**

let  $X$  be an  $R$ -module over a good ring  $R$ , and  $H$  is nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule of  $X$  and  $F$  is a submodule of  $X$  with  $J(X) \subseteq F$  and  $F \not\subseteq H$ , then  $F \cap H$  is nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule of  $F$ .

**proof**

since  $F \not\subseteq H$ , then  $F \cap H$  is a proper submodule of  $F$ , Now let  $rsx \in F \cap H$ , where  $r, s, t \in R$ ,  $x \in F$ , implies that  $rsx \in F$  and  $rsx \in H$ , but  $H$  is a nearly quasi 2-absorbing in  $X$ , then either  $rsx \in H + J(X)$  or  $rtx \in H + J(X)$  or  $stx \in H + J(X)$ , since  $x \in F$ , implies that  $rsx \in (H + J(X)) \cap F$  or  $rtx \in (H + J(X)) \cap F$  or  $stx \in (H + J(X)) \cap F$ . But  $J(X) \subseteq F$ , so by lemma (2.10), we have either  $rsx \in (H \cap F) + (J(X) \cap F)$  or  $rtx \in (H \cap F) + (J(X) \cap F)$  or  $stx \in (H \cap F) + (J(X) \cap F)$ . But  $R$  is a good ring then by lemma (2.9), we have  $J(X) \cap F = J(F)$ . Thus either  $rsx \in (H \cap F) + J(F)$  or  $rtx \in (H \cap F) + J(F)$  or  $stx \in (H \cap F) + J(F)$ .

**Corollary (2.12)**

let  $X$  be an  $R$ -module over a good ring  $R$ , and  $H, F$  are submodules of  $X$  with  $F \not\subseteq H$  if  $H$  is nearly quasi 2-absorbing in  $X$ , and  $F$  is a maximal submodule of  $X$ , then  $H \cap F$  is nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule of  $F$ .

**Proof**

Since  $F$  is maximal submodule of  $X$ , then  $J(X) \subseteq F$ . Hence the proof follows by proposition ( 2 . 11 )

The following proposition shows that the intersection of two nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodules is nearly quasi 2-absorbing under certain conditions.

**Proposition ( 2 . 13 )**

If  $H$  and  $F$  are two nearly quasi-2-absorbing submodules of an  $R$ -module  $X$ , with  $H \subseteq J(X)$  or  $F \subseteq J(X)$ , then  $H \cap F$  is nearly quasi-2-absorbing submodule of  $X$ .

**Proof**

Assume that  $rstx \in H \cap F$ ,  $r, s, t \in R$  and  $x \in X$  it follows that  $rstx \in H$  and  $rstx \in F$ , but both  $H$  and  $F$  are nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodules of  $X$ . So, either  $rsx \in H + J(X) = J(X)$  or  $rtx \in H + J(X) = J(X)$  or  $stx \in H + J(X) = J(X)$  and  $rsx \in F + J(X) = J(X)$  or  $rtx \in F + J(X) = J(X)$  or  $stx \in F + J(X) = J(X)$ . Thus either  $rsx \in H \cap F + J(X)$  or  $rtx \in H \cap F + J(X)$  or  $stx \in H \cap F + J(X)$  ( because  $H \cap F \subseteq J(X)$  ). Thus  $H \cap F$  is nearly quasi 2-absorbing of  $X$ .

**Proposition ( 2 . 14 )**

Let  $H$  and  $F$  be two submodules of an  $R$ -module  $X$ , with  $F \not\subseteq H$  and  $J(X) = J(F)$ . If  $H$  is nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule of  $X$ , then  $H \cap F$  is nearly quasi 2-absorbing of  $F$ .

**Proof**

It is clear that  $F \cap H$  is a proper submodule of  $F$ . Let  $rstx \in F \cap H$ ,  $r, s, t \in R$ ,  $x \in F$ , so  $rstx \in F$  and  $rstx \in H$ . but  $H$  is a nearly quasi 2-absorbing in  $X$ , and  $x \in F \subseteq X$ , then either  $rsx \in H + J(X)$  or  $rtx \in H + J(X)$  or  $stx \in H + J(X)$ . Since  $x \in F$ , implies that either  $rsx \in (H + J(X)) \cap F$  or  $rtx \in (H + J(X)) \cap F$  or  $stx \in (H + J(X)) \cap F$ . Thus since  $J(X) = J(F)$ , we get either  $rsx \in (H + J(F)) \cap F$  or  $rtx \in (H + J(F)) \cap F$  or  $stx \in (H + J(F)) \cap F$ . Hence by lemma ( 2 . 10 ), we have either  $rsx \in (H \cap F) + J(F)$  or  $rtx \in (H \cap F) + J(F)$  or  $stx \in (H \cap F) + J(F)$ . Thus  $H \cap F$  is nearly quasi 2-absorbing in  $F$ .

**Proposition ( 2 . 15 )**

Let  $H$  be a submodule of an  $R$ -module  $X$ , and  $H + J(X)$  is nearly quasi-2-absorbing submodule of  $X$ , then  $H$  is nearly quasi-2-absorbing submodule in  $X$ .

**Proof**

To prove that  $H$  is nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule let  $rstx \in H$ ,  $r, s, t \in R$ ,  $x \in X$ , since  $H \subseteq H + J(X)$ , then  $rstx \in H + J(X)$ . But  $H + J(X)$  is nearly quasi-2-absorbing in  $X$ , so either  $rsx \in H + J(X) + J(X) = H + J(X)$  or  $rtx \in H + J(X) + J(X) = H + J(X)$  or  $stx \in H + J(X) + J(X) = H + J(X)$ . Thus  $H$  is nearly quasi-2-absorbing in  $X$ .

**Proposition (2.16)**

Let  $H$  be proper submodule of an  $R$ -module  $X$  such that  $H$  is nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule of  $X$ ,

then  $S^{-1}H$  is nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule of  $S^{-1}R$  - module  $S^{-1}X$ .

**Proof**

Assume that  $\frac{a}{s_1} \frac{b}{s_2} \frac{c}{s_3} \frac{x}{s_4} \in S^{-1}H$ , where  $\frac{a}{s_1}, \frac{b}{s_2}, \frac{c}{s_3} \in S^{-1}R$  and  $\frac{x}{s_4} \in S^{-1}X$ ,  $a, b, c \in R, x \in X, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 \in S$ , it follows that  $\frac{abcx}{t} \in S^{-1}H$  where  $s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 = t \in S$ . then there exists  $t_1 \in S$  such that  $t_1 abcx \in H$ , since  $H$  is nearly quasi 2-absorbing in  $X$  then either  $abxt_1 \in H + J(X)$  or  $acxt_1 \in H + J(X)$  or  $bcxt_1 \in H + J(X)$ , which implies that either  $\frac{a}{s_1} \frac{b}{s_2} \frac{x}{s_4} \frac{t_1}{t} \in S^{-1}[H + J(X)] = S^{-1}H + J(S^{-1}X)$  or  $\frac{a}{s_1} \frac{c}{s_3} \frac{x}{s_4} \frac{t_1}{t} \in S^{-1}[H + J(X)] = S^{-1}H + J(S^{-1}X)$  or  $\frac{b}{s_2} \frac{c}{s_3} \frac{x}{s_4} \frac{t_1}{t} \in S^{-1}[H + J(X)] = S^{-1}H + J(S^{-1}X)$ . Thus  $S^{-1}H$  is nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule of  $S^{-1}X$ .

" Recall that a submodule  $E$  of an  $R$ -module  $X$  is small in  $X$ , if for any submodule  $F$  of  $X$  such that  $X = E + F$  then  $F = X [ 5 ]$ ".

Also, "recall that an  $R$ -epimorphism  $\varphi: X \rightarrow X'$  is called small epimorphism if  $\text{Ker } \varphi$  small submodule of  $X [ 5 ]$ ".

**"Lemma ( 2 . 17 ) [ 5, corollary 9 . 1 . 5 ]**

If an  $R$ -epimorphism  $\varphi: X \rightarrow X'$  is small, then  $\varphi(J(X)) = J(X')$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(J(X')) = J(X)$ ".

**Proposition ( 2 . 18 )**

Let  $\varphi: X \rightarrow X'$  be a small  $R$ -epimorphism, and  $E$  is a nearly quasi-2-absorbing submodule of  $X'$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(E)$  is a nearly quasi-2-absorbing of  $X$ .

**Proof**

Assume that  $rstx \in \varphi^{-1}(E)$ ,  $r, s, t \in R, x \in X$ , so  $rst\varphi(x) \in E$ . But  $E$  is a nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule of  $X'$ , then either  $rs\varphi(x) \in E + J(X')$  or  $rt\varphi(x) \in E + J(X')$  or  $st\varphi(x) \in E + J(X')$ . Thus either  $rsx \in \varphi^{-1}(E) + \varphi^{-1}(J(X'))$  or  $rtx \in \varphi^{-1}(E) + \varphi^{-1}(J(X'))$  or  $stx \in \varphi^{-1}(E) + \varphi^{-1}(J(X'))$ . But  $\varphi$  is small epimorphism, implies that  $\varphi^{-1}(J(X')) = J(X)$  by lemma ( 2 . 17 ). Hence we have either  $rsx \in \varphi^{-1}(E) + J(X)$  or  $rtx \in \varphi^{-1}(E) + J(X)$  or  $stx \in \varphi^{-1}(E) + J(X)$ .

**Proposition ( 2 . 19 )**

Let  $\varphi: X \rightarrow X'$  be a small  $R$ -epimorphism, and  $E$  be a proper nearly quasi-2-absorbing submodule of  $X$  such that  $\text{Ker } \varphi \subseteq E$ , then  $\varphi(E)$  is a nearly quasi-2-absorbing submodule of  $X'$ .

**Proof**

It is clear that  $\varphi(E)$  is proper submodule of  $X'$ , if not suppose that  $\varphi(E) = X'$ , let  $x \in X$  such that  $\varphi(x) \in X' = \varphi(E)$ , implies that there exist  $e \in E$  such that  $\varphi(e) = \varphi(x)$ . implies that  $\varphi(e - x) = 0$ , it follows that  $e - x \in \text{Ker } \varphi \subseteq E$ , implies that  $x \in E$ , thus  $E = X$  contradiction, Now assume that  $rstx \in \varphi(E)$ ,  $r, s, t \in R, x \in X$ , since  $\varphi$  is an epimorphism, then there exist  $x' \in X$  such that  $\varphi(x) = x'$ . Hence  $rst\varphi(x) \in \varphi(E)$ , implies that there exist  $e \in E$  such that  $rst\varphi(x) = \varphi(e)$ , hence  $\varphi(rstx - e) = 0$ , so  $rstx - e \in \text{Ker } \varphi \subseteq E$ , implies that  $rstx \in E$ . But  $E$  is a nearly quasi 2-

absorbing in  $X$ , so either  $rsx \in E + J(X)$  or  $rtx \in E + J(X)$  or  $stx \in E + J(X)$ , it follows that either  $rsx \in \phi(E) + \phi(J(X))$  or  $rtx \in \phi(E) + \phi(J(X))$  or  $stx \in \phi(E) + \phi(J(X))$ . Thus by lemma (2.17), we have either  $rsx \in \phi(E) + J(X)$  or  $rtx \in \phi(E) + J(X)$  or  $stx \in \phi(E) + J(X)$ .

#### Corollary (2.20)

Let  $E$  be a submodule of an  $R$ -module  $X$ , and  $F$  be a small submodule of  $X$  contained in  $E$ . Then  $\frac{E}{F}$  is a nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule of  $\frac{X}{F}$  if and only if  $E$  is a nearly quasi 2-absorbing in  $X$ .

#### Proof

Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow \frac{X}{F}$  be a natural  $R$ -epimorphism, then the result follow by proposition (2.18) and proposition (2.19).

#### Proposition (2.21)

Let  $X'$  and  $X''$  be  $R$ -modules and  $X = X' \oplus X''$  if  $E = E' \oplus E''$  is a nearly quasi 2-absorbing

#### References

- [1] Al-Mothafar, N. S. and Abdul-Alkalik A. J. (2016). *Nearly Quasi Prime submodules. International Journal of Advanced Reserch*, **5(1)**:170-180.  
[2] Abdul-Razak, H. M. (1999). Quasi-prime modules and Quasi-prime submodules. MD Thesis, University of Baghdad.

submodule in  $X$ , with  $E \subseteq J(X)$ , where  $E', E''$  are submodules of  $X', X''$  respectively. Then  $E'$  and  $E''$  are nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodules in  $X'$  and  $X''$  respectively.

#### Proof

Assume that  $rstx' \in E', r, s, t \in R, x' \in X'$ . Then  $rst(x', 0) \in E = E' \oplus E''$ . But  $E$  is a nearly quasi 2-absorbing in  $X$ , then either  $rs(x', 0) \in E + J(X) = J(X)$  or  $rt(x', 0) \in E + J(X) = J(X)$  or  $st(x', 0) \in E + J(X) = J(X)$ . But  $J(X) = (X') \oplus (X'')$ . It follows that either  $rs(x', 0) \in J(X') \oplus J(X'')$  or  $rt(x', 0) \in J(X') \oplus J(X'')$  or  $st(x', 0) \in J(X') \oplus J(X'')$ . Thus either  $rsx' \in J(X') \subseteq E' + J(X')$  or  $rtx' \in J(X') \subseteq E' + J(X')$  or  $stx' \in J(X') \subseteq E' + J(X')$ . Hence  $E'$  is a nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule in  $X'$ .

By similar way  $E''$  is a nearly quasi 2-absorbing submodule of  $X''$ .

- [3] Lu, C.P. (1984). Prime submodules of modules. *comm. Math, university santi pouil*, **33**: 61 – 69.  
[4] Darani, A. Y., and Soheilnia, F. (2012). 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing submodules. *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, **9(3)**: 577-584..  
[5] Kasch, F. (1982). *Modules and Rings*. London Math. Soc. Monographs, 17, New York, Academic press.

## المقاسات الجزئية المستحوذة من النمط - 2 الظاهرية القريبة

هيبية كريم محمد علي ، خلف حسن الحبيب

قسم الرياضيات ، كلية علوم الحاسوب والرياضيات ، جامعة تكريت ، تكريت ، العراق

#### الملخص

كل الحلقات المستخدمة في هذا البحث هي حلقات ابدالية بمحايد و كل المقاسات المعرفة عليها هي مقاسات يسارية أحادية. المقاس الجزئي الفعلي  $E$  من المقاس  $X$  يدعى مقاس جزئي اولي ظاهري قريب اذا كان  $abx \in E$  حيث  $a, b \in R, x \in X$  ،  $ax \in E + J(X)$  او  $bx \in E + J(X)$  حيث ان  $J(X)$  هو جذر جاكوبسون، هذا التعريف قادنا لكي نقدم مفهوم المقاس الجزئي المستحوذ من النمط - 2 الظاهري كأعمام للمقاس الجزئي الاولي الظاهري القريب و المقاس الجزئي المستحوذ من النمط - 2، حيث انه يدعى المقاس الجزئي الفعلي  $E$  بانه مقاسا جزئيا مستحوذا من النمط - 2 ظاهريا قريبا اذا كان  $abcm \in E$  حيث  $a, b, c \in R, m \in X$  ،  $abm \in E + J(X)$  او  $acm \in E + J(X)$  او  $bcm \in E + J(X)$ . درسنا معظم المفاهيم الأساسية لهذا المفهوم ، بالإضافة لذلك درسنا علاقة هذا المفهوم مع أصناف أخرى من المقاسات. كذلك اعطينا مكافئات و امثلة عليه.