



ζ -Open Sets and ζ -Continuity

Sabah A. Tamer , Taha H. Jasim

College of Computers Sciences and Mathematics, University of Tikrit, Tikrit, Iraq

ARTICLE INFO.

Article history:

- Received: 4 / 9 / 2022
- Received in revised form: 18 / 9 / 2022
- Accepted: 23 / 10 / 2022
- Final Proofreading: 7 / 5 / 2023
- Available online: 25 / 6 / 2023

Keywords: ζ -Open Set, ζ -continuous map, ζ -Topological Space, ζ -Separation axioms

Corresponding Author:

Name: Sabah A. Tamer

E-mail: sabahabc92@gmail.com

tahahameed@tu.edu.iq

Tel:

©2022 COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, TIKRIT UNIVERSITY. THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE CC BY LICENSE

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



ABSTRACT

In this work, the concept of ζ -Open Set was introduced as a generalization of open set. Where it is shown that (X, τ_ζ) is a Topological Space.

Also, we study ζ -Interior, ζ -Closure, Continuity Function. At last basics Properties of them are given.

المجموعة المفتوحة من النوع ζ و الاستمرارية عليها

صباح عبد الله تامر ، طه حميد جاسم

كلية علوم الحاسوب و الرياضيات ، جامعة تكريت ، تكريت ، العراق

المخلص

في هذا البحث، تم تقديم مفهوم المجموعة المفتوحة من النوع ζ كتعميم للمجموعة المفتوحة. حيث تبين أن (X, τ_ζ) هي فضاء طوبولوجي. كما ندرس وظيفة الاستمرارية من النوع ζ - مجموعة النقاط الداخلية من النوع ζ - الانغلاق من النوع ζ . و أخيراً سوف نناقش بديهيات الفصل لهذا النوع من المجموعات المفتوحة

1. Introduction and Basic concepts

In this work, we present some basic concepts in the topological space, such as the interior which the scientist studied S.-M. Jung and D. Nam year 2019 [1] and Closure which the scientist studied by N. Levine at year 1961 [2], as well as the continuous functions that the scientist studied by Cenap Ozel at 2021[3], Then the Separation axioms T_0 , T_1 , T_2 was studied by M. Bharti at 2019 [4]. Finally, some of the theorems and properties that we will generalize open set to the ζ -Open set type.

The definition of topological space is given in [5] as If $X \neq \emptyset$, set, τ is a family of subsets $\tau \subseteq P(X)$ is named to be **topology** on X if the following holds:

- 1- $X, \emptyset \in \tau$.
- 2- If $S, U \in \tau \Rightarrow S \cap U \in \tau$
- 3- If $S_i \in \tau \Rightarrow \cup_i S_i \in \tau$

We called a pair (X, τ) **Topological space**.

In [2] the interior set definition is given as: the topological space (X, τ) , if $S \subseteq X$. The interior of S denoted as union of every open set whose contained

<https://doi.org/10.25130/tjps.v28i3.1431>

in S symbolized by $\text{Int}(S)$ or S° i.e., the largest open set that's contained in S .

Also, the closure set was given in [3] as: Assume (X, τ) be a topological space, $S \subseteq X$. The **closure** of S is defined as the smallest closed set whose contained in S denoted by $\text{Cl}(S)$ or \bar{S} .

i.e., the smallest closed set that contained.

Definition 1.1[6]

Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \tilde{\tau})$ be a function where $(X, \tau), (Y, \tilde{\tau})$ are topological spaces. The function f is names continuous function if $f^{-1}(S) \in \tau$ for all open set in Y .

A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \tilde{\tau})$ is named an open if $f(S)$ is an open set in Y , whenever $S \in \tau$.

A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \tilde{\tau})$ is named closed if $f(S)$ is a closed set in Y , whenever S is a closed set in X .

Definition 1.2 [4], [7],[8]

A topological space (W, τ) is called a **T₀-space** if for any pair of different points of W , there is at least one open set which includes one of them but not the other.

A topological space (W, τ) is named a **T₁-space** if for any pair of different points x, y in W , there is two open sets $S, T \in \tau$, s.t. $x \in S, y \notin S$ and $x \notin T, y \in T$.

Let (W, τ) a topological space is named **T₂-space** or Hausdorff space if for each pair of different points can be separated by disjoint open set.

2. Main results

In this section, some new class of sets called Zeta-Open sets was introduced (briefly ζ -open) with some properties.

At last the concept of continuity with some characterization were introduced too.

Definition 2.1

Let (X, τ) be topological space and $S \subseteq X$. Then is S is **ζ -open set**

If $S \cap \bar{U} \neq \emptyset \forall z \in S \exists$ open set U content z s.t. $\emptyset \neq U \neq X$ when $\emptyset \neq S \neq X$

$$\text{And } S \cap \bar{U} = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } S = \emptyset \\ X & \text{if } S = X = U \end{cases}$$

The set of all ζ -open is denoted by is $\zeta\text{-O}(X)$.

Example 2.2:

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ and $\tau^c = \{X, \{b, c\}, \{c\}, \emptyset\}$ then is $\zeta\text{-O}(X)$.

Let $S = X, \emptyset$ is ζ -Open set {from def.}.

Let $S = \{a\}$ is ζ -Open set

Let $S = \{b\}$ is ζ -Open set

Let $S = \{c\}$ is not ζ -Open set

Let $S = \{a, b\}$ is ζ -Open set

Let $S = \{a, c\}$ is not ζ -Open set

Let $S = \{b, c\}$ is not ζ -Open set

$$\therefore \tau_\zeta = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$$

Theorem 2.3:

Every open set is ζ -open set and the opposite isn't true.

Proof: Let S be a open set if $S \neq \emptyset$ or X Then is proof done.

Assume $\emptyset \neq S \neq X$ and $z \in S$

Put $G=S, \bar{S} = \bar{G} \Rightarrow S \cap \bar{G} = S \neq \emptyset$.

Example 2.4:

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ and $\tau^c = \{X, \{b, c\}, \{c\}, \emptyset\}$ then is $\zeta\text{-O}(X)$

$\therefore \zeta\text{-O}(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}, \{b\}$ is **ζ -open set but not open set**

Theorem 2.5:

$(X, \zeta\text{-O}(X))$ is topological space.

1- $\emptyset, X \in \zeta\text{-O}(X)$ (by def. $\zeta\text{-O}(X)$)

2- Let $S_\alpha \in \zeta\text{-O}(X)$ and $z \in U S_\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha^* \in \Lambda$ s.t. $z \in S_{\alpha^*} \exists G_{\alpha^*}, z \in G_{\alpha^*}$

$$S_{\alpha^*} \cap \bar{G}_{\alpha^*} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (S_\alpha \cap \bar{G}_{\alpha^*}) \neq \emptyset$$

$$\therefore \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} S_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \bar{G}_{\alpha^*} \neq \emptyset$$

3- Let $S_1, S_2 \in \zeta\text{-O}(X)$, To prove $S_1 \cap S_2 \in \zeta\text{-O}(X)$ s.t. $z \in S_1 \cap S_2$

4- $\Rightarrow z \in S_1, z \in S_2 \exists$ open G_1, G_2 contain z

$$\text{s.t. } S_i \cap \bar{G}_i \neq \emptyset \forall i = 1, 2$$

$$\therefore S_1 \cap S_2 \cap \bar{G}_1 \cap \bar{G}_2 \neq \emptyset \quad (\text{since } z \in S_i \cap \bar{G}_i)$$

Corollary 2.6 :

(X, τ_ζ) finer then (X, τ) .

Proof : By **(Theorem 3.3)** Every open set is ζ -open set.

Definition 2.7:

Let (X, τ_ζ) be a topological space and $S \subseteq X$. A point $z \in S$ is called an **ζ -interior point** of S and is denoted by S_z° or $\text{Int}_\zeta(S)$. i.e.,

$$\text{Int}_\zeta(S) = \{z \in S : \exists U \in \tau_\zeta; z \in U \subseteq S\}$$

$$z \in \text{Int}_\zeta(S) \Leftrightarrow \exists U \in \tau_\zeta; z \in U \subseteq S$$

Example 2.8:

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{X, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, \emptyset\}$ and $\tau^c = \{\emptyset, \{b, c\}, \{b\}, X\}$ then is

$\tau_\zeta = \{X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \emptyset\}$. then is $\text{Int}_\zeta(S)$. Let $S = X$

$$\text{Let } S = X, \emptyset \Rightarrow \text{Int}_\zeta(S) = X, \emptyset.$$

$$\text{Let } S = \{a\} \Rightarrow \text{Int}_\zeta(S) = \{a\}.$$

$$\text{Let } S = \{b\} \Rightarrow \text{Int}_\zeta(S) = \emptyset.$$

$$\text{Let } S = \{c\} \Rightarrow \text{Int}_\zeta(S) = \{c\}.$$

$$\text{Let } S = \{a, b\} \Rightarrow \text{Int}_\zeta(S) = \{a\}.$$

$$\text{Let } S = \{a, c\} \Rightarrow \text{Int}_\zeta(S) = \{a, c\}.$$

$$\text{Let } S = \{b, c\} \Rightarrow \text{Int}_\zeta(S) = \{c\}.$$

Definition 2.9:

Let (X, τ_ζ) be topological space and $S_\zeta \subseteq X$. The **ζ -closure** of sets S_ζ is $S_\zeta \cup S_\zeta^{\bar{\zeta}}$ and denoted by \bar{S}_ζ or $\text{cl}_\zeta(S)$ i.e. $\bar{S}_\zeta = S_\zeta \cup S_\zeta^{\bar{\zeta}}$

Example 2.10:

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{X, \{b\}, \{b, c\}, \emptyset\}$ and $\tau^c = \{\emptyset, \{b, c\}, \{c\}, X\}$ then is

$\tau_\zeta = \{X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \emptyset\}$ and $\zeta\text{-c}(x) = \{\emptyset, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a\}, X\}$ then is $\text{cl}_\zeta(S)$. Let $S = \{X\}$

$$\text{Let } S = X, \emptyset \Rightarrow \text{cl}_\zeta(S) = X, \emptyset$$

$$\text{Let } S = \{a\} \Rightarrow \text{cl}_\zeta(S) = \{a, c\}.$$

$$\text{Let } S = \{b\} \Rightarrow \text{cl}_\zeta(S) = \{a, b\}.$$

$$\text{Let } S = \{c\} \Rightarrow \text{cl}_\zeta(S) = \{a, c\}.$$

$$\text{Let } S = \{a, b\} \Rightarrow \text{cl}_\zeta(S) = \{a, b\}.$$

<https://doi.org/10.25130/tjps.v28i3.1431>

Let $S = \{ \hat{a}, \varsigma \} \Rightarrow cl_{\zeta}(S) = \{ \hat{a}, \varsigma \}$.

Let $S = \{ \flat, \varsigma \} \Rightarrow cl_{\zeta}(S) = \{ X \}$.

Definition 2.11:

Let (X, τ_{ζ}) and $(Y, \check{\tau}_{\zeta})$ be topological space and f :

$$(X, \tau_{\zeta}) \rightarrow (Y, \check{\tau}_{\zeta})$$

The function f is called **ζ -continuous** if the inverts image for any ζ -open set Y is an ζ -open set in X i.e.

$$f: (X, \tau_{\zeta}) \rightarrow (Y, \check{\tau}_{\zeta}) \text{ is } \zeta\text{-continuous} \iff f^{-1}(U) \in \tau_{\zeta} \forall U \in \check{\tau}_{\zeta}$$

and the function f is called ζ -dis-continuous if there exist an ζ -open set in Y . but inverts image is not ζ -open set in X i.e.

$$f \text{ is } \zeta\text{-dis-continuous} \iff U \in \check{\tau}_{\zeta} \wedge f^{-1}(U) \notin \tau_{\zeta}$$

Example 2.12 :

Let $X = \{ 1, 2, 3, 4 \}$, $\tau_x = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ 1, 2 \} \}$, $\tau_x^c = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ 3, 4 \} \}$ and $Y = \{ \hat{a}, \flat, \varsigma \}$, $\tau_y = \{ Y, \emptyset, \{ \flat, \varsigma \} \}$, $\tau_y^c = \{ \emptyset, Y, \{ \hat{a} \} \}$ then is

$$\tau_{\zeta} = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ 1, 2 \}, \{ 1 \}, \{ 2 \} \} \quad \check{\tau}_{\zeta} = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ \hat{a} \}, \{ \flat \}, \{ \hat{a}, \flat \} \}$$

Define $h: (X, \tau_{\zeta}) \rightarrow (Y, \check{\tau}_{\zeta})$; $h(1) = \hat{a}$, $f(2) = \flat$, $f(3) = f(4) = \varsigma$

Thus h ζ -continuous

Theorem 2.13 :

if $f: (X, \tau_{\zeta}) \rightarrow (Y, \check{\tau}_{\zeta})$ and $g: (Y, \check{\tau}_{\zeta}) \rightarrow (M, \check{\tau}_{\zeta})$ are both ζ -continuous function, then the composition $g \circ f: (X, \tau_{\zeta}) \rightarrow (M, \check{\tau}_{\zeta})$ is ζ -continuous

proof : Let $V \in \check{\tau}_{\zeta} \Rightarrow g^{-1}(V) \in \check{\tau}_{\zeta}$ (since g is ζ -continuous)

notes that $g^{-1}(V) \subseteq Y$

$$\Rightarrow f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) \in \tau_{\zeta} \text{ (since } f \text{ is } \zeta\text{-continuous)}$$

$$\Rightarrow (f^{-1} \circ g^{-1})(V) \in \tau_{\zeta} \text{ (by composition is } f \text{ is } \zeta\text{-continuous)}$$

$$\Rightarrow (f \circ g)^{-1}(V) \in \tau_{\zeta} \text{ (since } (g \circ f)^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ g^{-1})$$

$\therefore g \circ f$ is ζ -continuous. the figure below clear this theorem.

3- ζ -Seperation axioms

Some new class of separation axioms were introduced in this section with their relations.

Definition 3.1 :

A topological space (X, τ_{ζ}) is **ζ -T₀ - space**, if for each pair of distinct points $x, \bar{y} \in X$, there is either a ζ -open set containing x but not \bar{y} or a ζ -open set containing \bar{y} but not x .

Example 3.2 :

Let $X = \{ \hat{a}, \flat, \varsigma \}$, $\tau_x = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ \hat{a} \}, \{ \hat{a}, \flat \} \}$, $\tau_x^c = \{ \emptyset, X, \{ \flat, \varsigma \}, \{ \varsigma \} \}$

then is $\tau_{\zeta} = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ \flat \}, \{ \varsigma \}, \{ \flat, \varsigma \} \}$. is (X, τ_{ζ}) is ζ -T₀ - space.

Example 3.3 :

Let (X, τ_x) be topological space $X = \{ a, \flat, \varsigma \}$, $\tau_x = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ \hat{a}, \flat \} \}$, $\tau_x^c = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ \varsigma \} \}$

then is $\tau_{\zeta} = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ \hat{a} \}, \{ \flat \}, \{ \hat{a}, \flat \} \}$ and let ζ - $\tau_x = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ \hat{a}, \flat \} \}$ then is ζ -T₀ - space

$\therefore (X, \zeta$ - $\tau_x)$ is not ζ -T₀ - space

Because $\hat{a}, \flat \in X$ and $\nexists \zeta$ -open set containing \hat{a} but not \flat .

Theorem 3.4 :

The property of being a ζ -T₀ - space is a hereditary property.

Proof :

Let (X, τ_{ζ}) ζ -T₀ - space and $(W, \check{\tau}_{\zeta})$ subspace of X , to prove $(W, \check{\tau}_{\zeta})$ is ζ -T₀ - space

Let $x, \bar{y} \in W$; $x \neq \bar{y} \Rightarrow x, \bar{y} \in X$ (since $W \subseteq X$)

$\therefore X$ is ζ -T₀ - space $\Rightarrow \exists U \in \tau_{\zeta}$; $(x \in U \wedge \bar{y} \notin U) \vee$

$$(x \notin U \wedge \bar{y} \in U) \Rightarrow U \cap W \in (W, \check{\tau}_{\zeta})$$

(by def. of $\check{\tau}_{\zeta}$)

$\therefore (x \in U \cap W \wedge \bar{y} \notin U \cap W) \vee (x \notin U \cap W \wedge \bar{y} \in U \cap W)$

$\therefore (W, \check{\tau}_{\zeta})$ is ζ -T₀ - space.

Definition 3.5 :

Let (X, τ_{ζ}) be a topological space. Then is the space (X, τ_{ζ}) is called

ζ -T₁ - Space if for each pair of distinct points $x, \bar{y} \in X$, there exists a ζ -open set in X containing x but not \bar{y} , and a ζ -open set in X containing \bar{y} but not x .

Example 3.6:

Let $X = \{ \hat{a}, \flat, \varsigma \}$, $\tau_x = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ \hat{a} \}, \{ \hat{a}, \flat \}, \{ \hat{a}, \varsigma \} \}$, $\tau_x^c = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ \flat, \varsigma \}, \{ \hat{a}, \varsigma \}, \{ \flat \} \}$ then is $\tau_{\zeta} = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ \hat{a} \}, \{ \flat \}, \{ \varsigma \}, \{ \hat{a}, \flat \}, \{ \hat{a}, \varsigma \} \}$ is ζ -T₁ - space.

Example 3.7:

Let $X = \{ \hat{a}, \flat, \varsigma \}$, $\tau_x = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ \hat{a}, \flat \} \}$, $\tau_x^c = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ \varsigma \} \}$ then $\tau_{\zeta} = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ \hat{a} \}, \{ \flat \}, \{ \hat{a}, \flat \} \}$

$\therefore (X, \tau_{\zeta})$ is not ζ -T₁ - space

Because $a, c \in X$ and \nexists two ζ -open sets U, P s.t. $a \in U$, $a \notin P$ and $c \notin U$, $c \in P$

Remark 3.8 :

every ζ -T₁ - space is ζ -T₀-space (i.e. ζ -T₁ \Rightarrow ζ -T₀). But the reverse implications doesn't hold (i.e. ζ -T₀ $\not\Rightarrow$ ζ -T₁). As in the example below :

Example 3.9 :

Let $X = \{ \hat{a}, \flat, \varsigma \}$, $\tau_x = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ \flat, \varsigma \}, \{ \varsigma \} \}$, $\tau_x^c = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ \hat{a} \}, \{ \hat{a}, \flat \} \}$ then, $\tau_{\zeta} = \{ X, \emptyset, \{ \flat \}, \{ \varsigma \}, \{ \flat, \varsigma \} \}$, then

(X, τ_{ζ}) is ζ -T₀ - space. But doesn't ζ -T₁ - space.

Definition 3.10 : Let (X, τ_{ζ}) be a topological space, $z \in X$ and $S \subseteq X$. S is said to be ζ -neighborhood for a point z if there exist a ζ -open set U contains z which is contain in S denoted by $nbhd_{\zeta}$

Theorem 3.11 :

(X, τ_{ζ}) is ζ -T₁ - Space if $\{ x \}$ is ζ -closed $\forall x \in X$.

i.e., (X, τ_{ζ}) is ζ -T₁ - Space if every singleton set in X is ζ -closed.

Proof : (\Rightarrow) Suppose that X is ζ -T₁ - Space, to prove $\{ x \}$ ζ -closed $\forall x \in X$

<https://doi.org/10.25130/tjps.v28i3.1431>

i.e., $X - \{x\}$ ζ - open set, we must prove $X - \{x\}$ contains a $nbhd_{\zeta} \forall \bar{y} \in X - \{x\}$

Let $y \in X - \{x\} \Rightarrow x \neq \bar{y}$

$\therefore X$ is ζ - T_1 - Space $\Rightarrow \exists U, V_y \in \zeta$ - $\tau_{\zeta}; (x \in U \wedge \bar{y} \notin U) \wedge (x \notin V_y \wedge \bar{y} \in V_y)$

$\Rightarrow \bar{y} \in V_y \wedge x \notin V_y$

$\Rightarrow \{x\} \cap V_y = \emptyset$

$\Rightarrow V_y \subseteq X - \{x\} \wedge \bar{y} \in V_y$

$\Rightarrow V_y \subseteq X - \{x\} \forall \bar{y} \in X - \{x\}$

$\therefore X - \{x\}$ contains a $nbhd_{\zeta} \forall \bar{y} \in X - \{x\}$.

$\therefore X - \{x\}$ ζ - open set $\Rightarrow \{x\}$ ζ - closed $\forall x \in X$.

(\Leftarrow) suppose that $\{x\}$ ζ - closed $\forall x \in X$, to prove X is ζ - T_1 - Space

Let $x, \bar{y} \in X; x \neq \bar{y} \Rightarrow \{x\}, \{\bar{y}\}$ are ζ - closed sets

$\Rightarrow X - \{x\}, X - \{\bar{y}\}$ are ζ - open sets

Say $U = X - \{\bar{y}\}, V = X - \{x\} \Rightarrow (x \in U \wedge \bar{y} \notin U) \wedge (x \notin V \wedge \bar{y} \in V)$

$\therefore (X, \tau_{\zeta})$ is ζ - T_1 - Space.

Definition 3.12 :

Let (X, τ_{ζ}) be a topological space. Then is the space

(X, τ_{ζ}) is called a ζ - T_2 - space or Hausdorff

space. let $x, \bar{y} \in X$, for each pair of distinct points,

there exist ζ -open sets U and V such that $x \in U, \bar{y} \in V$, and $U \cap V = \emptyset$ i.e., X is ζ - T_2 - space $\Leftrightarrow \forall x, \bar{y} \in X; x \neq \bar{y} \exists U, V \in \tau_{\zeta}; (x \in U \wedge \bar{y} \in V), U \cap V = \emptyset$

$\Rightarrow U \times V \in \beta_{X \times X} \subseteq \tau_{\zeta(X \times X)}$ (by def. product space)

$\Rightarrow U \times V$ ζ -open set in $X \times X$ and

$U \times V \subseteq X \times X - \Delta \wedge (x, \bar{y}) \in U \times V$ (since $U \cap V = \emptyset$)

Since, if $U \times V \not\subseteq X \times X - \Delta \Rightarrow \exists (x, \bar{y}) \in \Delta \Rightarrow x \in U \wedge \bar{y} \in V$ C!!

$\therefore X \times X - \Delta$ contains a $nbhd_{\zeta} \forall x \in X \times X - \Delta$

$\Rightarrow X \times X - \Delta \in \beta_{X \times X}$

$\Rightarrow \Delta$ ζ -closed in $X \times X$

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that Δ ζ -closed in $X \times X$, to prove X is ζ - T_2 - space

Let $x, \bar{y} \in X; x \neq \bar{y} \Rightarrow (x, \bar{y}) \notin \Delta$ (by def. of Δ)

$\Rightarrow (x, \bar{y}) \in \Delta^c = X \times X - \Delta$

$\therefore \Delta$ ζ -closed set $\Rightarrow X \times X - \Delta$ ζ -open set

$\Rightarrow \exists U \times V; U, V \in \tau_{\zeta} \wedge (x, \bar{y}) \in U \times V, U \times V \subseteq X \times X - \Delta, x \in U, \bar{y} \in V$

$\Rightarrow U \times V \cap \Delta = \emptyset$ (i.e., \nexists element in $U \times V$ has equal coordinate)

$\Rightarrow U \times V = \emptyset$

$\Rightarrow (X, \tau_{\zeta})$ is ζ - T_2 - space.

Remark 3.15 :

every ζ - T_2 - space is ζ - T_1 -spese (i.e. ζ - $T_2 \Rightarrow \zeta$ - T_1). But the reverse implications don't hold (i.e. ζ - $T_1 \not\Rightarrow \zeta$ - T_2). As in the example below

Example 3.16 :

Is $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_{\zeta(cof)})$ ζ - T_1 - space.

Let $x, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{N}; x \neq \bar{y}, \exists U = \mathbb{N} - \{x\} \in \tau_{\zeta(cof)}$

$V = \mathbb{N} - \{\bar{y}\} \in \tau_{\zeta(cof)}$ (since $U^c = (\mathbb{N} - \{x\})^c = \{x\}$)

$V^c = (\mathbb{N} - \{\bar{y}\})^c = \{\bar{y}\}$ finite set by define of $\tau_{\zeta(cof)}$)

$\Rightarrow (\bar{y} \in U = \mathbb{N} - \{x\} \wedge x \notin U) \wedge (\bar{y} \notin V = \mathbb{N} - \{x\} \wedge x \in V)$

But $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_{\zeta(cof)})$ is not ζ - T_2 - space if $x \neq \bar{y}, \exists U = \mathbb{N} - \{x\} \in \tau_{\zeta(cof)}$

$V = \mathbb{N} - \{\bar{y}\} \in \tau_{\zeta(cof)}$, but $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$

Theorem 3.17:

Let (X, τ_{ζ}) be a ζ - T_2 - space if the diagonal

$\Delta = \{(x, x) \in X \times X; x \in X\}$ is a ζ -closed subset of the product $X \times X$.

Proof : (\Rightarrow) Suppose that X is ζ - T_2 - space, to prove Δ ζ -closed in $X \times X$

i.e., $X \times X - \Delta$ ζ -open set, we must prove $X \times X - \Delta$ contains a $nbhd_{\zeta} \forall (x, \bar{y}) \in X \times X - \Delta$ Let $(x, \bar{y}) \in X \times X - \Delta \Rightarrow (x, \bar{y}) \in \Delta^c$ (def. of deference)

$\Rightarrow x \neq \bar{y}$ (since Δ has equal coordinate)

$\therefore X$ is a ζ - T_2 - space $\Rightarrow \exists U, V \in \tau_{\zeta}, U \cap V = \emptyset, (x \in U \wedge \bar{y} \in V)$

$\Rightarrow U \times V \in \beta_{X \times X} \subseteq \tau_{\zeta(X \times X)}$ (by def. product space)

$\Rightarrow U \times V$ ζ -open set in $X \times X$ and

$U \times V \subseteq X \times X - \Delta \wedge (x, \bar{y}) \in U \times V$ (since $U \cap V = \emptyset$)

Since, if $U \times V \not\subseteq X \times X - \Delta \Rightarrow \exists (x, \bar{y}) \in \Delta \Rightarrow x \in U \wedge \bar{y} \in V$ C!!

$\therefore X \times X - \Delta$ contains a $nbhd_{\zeta} \forall x \in X \times X - \Delta$

$\Rightarrow X \times X - \Delta \in \beta_{X \times X}$

$\Rightarrow \Delta$ ζ -closed in $X \times X$

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that Δ ζ -closed in $X \times X$, to prove X is ζ - T_2 - space

Let $x, \bar{y} \in X; x \neq \bar{y} \Rightarrow (x, \bar{y}) \notin \Delta$ (by def. of Δ)

$\Rightarrow (x, \bar{y}) \in \Delta^c = X \times X - \Delta$

$\therefore \Delta$ ζ -closed set $\Rightarrow X \times X - \Delta$ ζ -open set

$\Rightarrow \exists U \times V; U, V \in \tau_{\zeta} \wedge (x, \bar{y}) \in U \times V, U \times V \subseteq X \times X - \Delta, x \in U, \bar{y} \in V$

$\Rightarrow U \times V \cap \Delta = \emptyset$ (i.e., \nexists element in $U \times V$ has equal coordinate)

$\Rightarrow U \times V = \emptyset$

$\Rightarrow (X, \tau_{\zeta})$ is ζ - T_2 - space.

<https://doi.org/10.25130/tjps.v28i3.1431>

References

- [1] S.-M. Jung and D. Nam, "Some Properties of Interior and Closure in General Topology," *Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 624, 2019.
- [2] N. Levine, "On the Community of the Closure and Interior Operators in Topological Spaces," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 68, no. 5, p. 474–477, 1961
- [3] Cenap Ozel1 , M. A. Al Shumrani1 , Aynur Keskin Kaymakci2 , Choonkil Park3,* and Dong Yun Shin4,"On δb -open continuous functions," *AIMS Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 2947-2955, 2021.
- [4] M. Bharti, "To Study Separation Axioms in Topological Spaces.," *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*, vol. 7, pp. 332-334, 2019.
- [5] J. Kelly, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand: Priceton New Jersey, 1955.
- [6] Fang Jin-ming and Yue-li "Bases and Subbase in I-Fuzzy Topological spaces" *Journal of Mathematical Research and Exposition.*, vo 26. 1, pp.89-95, 2006.
- [7] A.Arkhangel'skii and V. Ponomar "ev, "Fundamental of general topology -problems and exercises," in Hindustan Pub.Corporation, Delhi, 1966.
- [8] R. Engelking, *Outline of general topology*, Amsterdam , 1989.